LA-UR-13-29168
LA-UR-14-25252

Fermion Pairing and the Scalar Boson of the 2D Conformal Anomaly

Daniel N. Blaschke*, Raúl Carballo-Rubio†, and Emil Mottola‡

December 19, 2014

arXiv:1407.8523v2 [hep-th] 19 Dec 2014

*‡ *Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory*
Los Alamos, NM, 87545, USA

† *Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC)*
Glorieta de la Astronomía, 18008 Granada, Spain

Abstract

We analyze the phenomenon of fermion pairing into an effective boson associated with anomalies and the anomalous commutators of currents, bilinear in the fermion fields. In two spacetime dimensions the chiral bosonization of the Schwinger model is determined by the chiral current anomaly of massless Dirac fermions. A similar bosonized description applies to the 2D conformal trace anomaly of the fermion stress-energy tensor. For both the chiral and conformal anomalies, correlation functions involving anomalous currents, j_5^μ or $T^{\mu\nu}$ of massless fermions exhibit a massless boson $1/k^2$ pole, and the associated spectral functions obey a UV finite sum rule, becoming δ-functions in the massless limit. In both cases the corresponding effective action of the anomaly is non-local, but may be expressed in a local form by the introduction of a new bosonic field, which becomes a *bona fide* propagating quantum field in its own right. In both cases this is expressed in Fock space by the anomalous Schwinger commutators of currents becoming the canonical commutation relations of the corresponding boson. The boson has a Fock space operator realization as a coherent superposition of massless fermion pairs, which saturates the intermediate state sums in quantum correlation functions of fermion currents. The Casimir energy of fermions on a finite spatial interval $[0, L]$ can also be described as a coherent scalar condensation of pairs, and the one-loop correlation function of any number n of fermion stress-energy tensors $\langle TT \dots T\rangle$ may be expressed as a combinatoric sum of $n!/2$ linear tree diagrams of the scalar boson.

*dblaschke@lanl.gov
†raulc@iaa.es
‡emil@lanl.gov

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Fermion Pairing and Bosonization in the Schwinger Model **4**
2.1 Covariant Path Integral and Effective Action 4
2.2 Correlation Functions of Currents, Spectral Function and Sum Rule 10
2.3 Boson Operators and the Schwinger Term 14
2.4 Intermediate Pair States of $\langle jj \rangle$ 19

3 Fermion Pairing and Scalar Boson of the Conformal Anomaly **22**
3.1 Covariant Path Integral and Effective Action in Curved Spacetime 22
3.2 Correlation Functions, Spectral Function and Sum Rule 27
3.3 Stress-Energy Tensor, Virasoro Algebra and Schwinger Term of Fermions 30
3.4 Classical Scalar Condensate and Quantum Casimir Energy 31
3.5 The Scalar Boson of the Conformal Anomaly: Canonical Field 33
3.6 Intermediate Pair States of $\langle TT \rangle$ 36

4 Stress Tensor Correlators: Fermion Loops and Scalar Trees **39**
4.1 $\langle TT \dots T \rangle$ Correlators: Ward Identities 40
4.2 The Holomorphic Representation in Coordinate Space 42
4.3 Trace Insertions and Contact Terms 49

5 Summary and Conclusions **52**

Appendix A **54**

Appendix B **55**

1 Introduction

In many-body physics it is well-known that gapless fermion excitations in the vicinity of a Fermi surface can pair up into effective bosonic degrees of freedom. The formation of such fermion Cooper pairs is the basis for the BCS theory of superconductivity and the superfluidity of ^{3}He [1]. This amounts to a reorganization of the ground state of the system from weakly interacting fermions to interacting effective bosons, themselves consisting of bound fermion pairs.

In this paper we study the mechanism of fermion pairing in relativistic quantum field theory, emphasizing that the pairing is a direct result of quantum anomalies in otherwise classically conserved currents that are bilinear in the fermion fields. The particular focus of the paper is the 2D conformal anomaly of the stress-energy tensor [2–4] and the bosonized description it leads to. By studying this case in detail, our aim is to lay the groundwork for the extension of our considerations of anomaly induced pairing and corresponding bosons in four (and higher) dimensions with the appropriate modifications.

The best known example of fermion pairing in a relativistic quantum field theory is provided by the Schwinger model, *i.e.* quantum electrodynamics of massless fermions in two spacetime dimensions [5, 6]. The study of this model has a long history, and over the years has been solved by a number of different techniques [7–19]. We begin in Sec. 2 by reviewing the Schwinger model, and emphasizing that its main feature of fermion pairing into an effective massive boson

may be understood by both functional integral and operator methods most simply and directly as a consequence of its chiral current anomaly.

The basic signature of an anomaly is the existence of a bosonic excitation in correlators involving anomalous currents, which becomes an isolated $1/k^2$ pole when the underlying fermions are massless [20–23]. In $d = 2$ QED, the one-loop current polarization tensor $\langle j^\mu(x) j^\nu(x')\rangle$ has an imaginary part and spectral representation which obeys an ultraviolet finite sum rule and becomes a δ-function in the limit of massless fermions, indicating the presence of a massless boson intermediate state composed of fermion pairs. Correspondingly, the real part of the same correlation function exhibits a $1/k^2$ pole, which is the propagator of a dynamical massless boson. The residue of this massless pole in the correlation function of non-anomalous currents is proportional to the coefficient of the chiral current anomaly.

In the functional integral approach, the non-local effective action of the anomaly may be expressed in a local form describing a massless boson, which becomes a *bona fide* propagating field, inheriting its dynamics from that of the underlying fermions, even in the absence of other interactions. In the Schwinger model the effect of the interaction with the gauge potential leads to the boson acquiring a mass $M^2 = e^2/\pi$, but the fermion pairing into a boson state occurs even in the limit $e \to 0$ of infinitesimally small coupling to the gauge field.

In the Fock space operator approach to the Schwinger model, after careful definition of the fermion vacuum and normal ordering, there is a non-zero anomalous equal time commutator (Schwinger term) of currents [24]. It is worth noting that although the Schwinger term occurs in the commutator $[j^0, j^1]$ of apparently non-anomalous vector current components, this non-zero commutator can be viewed as a direct result of the chiral anomaly, and the boson degree of freedom it describes by fermion pairing. Indeed since the fermion current is linear in the chiral boson field, the Schwinger commutator term in the currents may be mapped precisely into the canonical equal time commutator of the boson, itself composed of fermion pairs, showing that the boson field is a true propagating degree of freedom.

Having reconsidered and rederived the standard results of the Schwinger model from the vantage point of its chiral anomaly, we proceed to apply the same methods to the conformal trace anomaly of the fermion stress-energy tensor. We show that most of the same features associated with the chiral anomaly reappear in the case of the conformal anomaly. In particular, correlation functions involving the stress-energy tensor of massless fermions again exhibit an isolated massless $1/k^2$ pole, with finite residue determined by the anomaly. There is again an ultraviolet sum rule for the corresponding spectral function which becomes a δ-function in the massless limit [22]. The $\Box^{-1}$ propagator in the non-local Polyakov action of the conformal anomaly [25] can be rewritten in terms of a local scalar field φ that becomes a *bona fide* propagating scalar, inheriting its dynamics from the underlying fermions of which it is composed. The anomalous equal time commutators or Schwinger terms of the stress-energy tensor, *i.e.* the central terms in the Virasoro algebra, may also be recognized as equivalent to canonical equal time commutation relations of the scalar composite boson, which therefore must be treated as a dynamical degree of freedom in its own right.

A new feature of the conformal trace anomaly of the stress-energy tensor is that the local scalar field φ has its own quantum stress-energy tensor containing terms that are both linear and bilinear in φ, and hence its own quantum anomaly. This would shift the anomaly coefficient of N fermions from N to $N + 1$, if uncompensated. Complete equivalence with the original N fermion theory can be achieved in one of two different ways. If φ is treated as a full quantum field participating in internal loops, then a compensating shift of N to $N - 1$ in the φ effective action must be introduced, so that the one less fermion degree of freedom is replaced by one

boson degree of freedom. Alternately, if one is interested only in the correlation functions of the fermions, one can treat the unshifted anomaly induced effective action of the N fermions as a purely tree-level effective action for the scalar φ in a gravitational field. By thereby forbidding the scalar φ from participating in internal loops, there is no shift in N to be compensated for, and it is possible to identify the fermion stress-energy tensor at the operator level with only those terms in the bosonic stress-energy tensor linear in the quantum φ field, analogous to the chiral bosonization of the Schwinger model [26–28].

This second approach also makes it possible to prove a remarkable theorem relating the correlation functions of an arbitrary number of fermion stress-energy tensors $\langle T^{\mu_1\nu_1}(x_1)\dots T^{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)\rangle$ at one-loop level to pure linear tree diagrams of the boson φ. The two-fermion intermediate states in the quantum correlation functions of the stress-energy tensor of the fermions are therefore identical to the single boson states of the corresponding bosonic tree diagrams for any number of stress-energy tensor insertions. This amounts to an alternative bosonization scheme for coupling to gravity, different from the usual chiral bosonization coupling to electromagnetism in the Schwinger model.

Once fermions are paired into an effective boson field, the bosons can condense and non-vanishing condensates are possible. In the simplest case of free fermions with anti-periodic boundary conditions on the finite spatial interval $[0, L]$, the quantum Casimir energy of the fermions can be described as a finite condensate $\bar{\varphi} = \langle\varphi\rangle$ of the boson field. The value of this boson condensate can be obtained from simple geometric considerations of a conformal transformation from flat $\mathbb{R}^2$ (assumed to have vanishing vacuum energy) to $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1$, appropriate for the periodically identified finite interval. This shows an interesting connection of the anomalous action of the boson and its condensate to the topology of spacetime. In the axial case there is a corresponding relationship to the topological winding number of the gauge field and its vacuum structure [11, 29–31].

This paper is organized as follows. After reviewing the path integral, dispersive and Fock space approaches to the Schwinger model in Sec. 2, we proceed in Sec. 3 to couple the fermion theory to gravity. The effective action of the conformal anomaly is first found by the functional integral method in Sec. 3.1. The two-point correlation function of stress-energy tensors, spectral function, UV finite sum rule and $1/k^2$ pole is considered in Sec. 3.2, the Fock space operator representation and Schwinger terms in Sec. 3.3, the boson condensate and Casimir energy in Sec. 3.4, the canonical field representation in Sec. 3.5, and saturation of the intermediate state sum in $\langle TT\rangle$ by the boson in Sec. 3.6. In Sec. 4 we show that the fermion pairing into the boson φ associated with the conformal anomaly implies a complete equivalence between one-loop quantum correlation functions of arbitrary numbers of fermion stress-energy tensors $\langle TT\dots T\rangle$ to a set of bosonic linear tree diagrams, in which the intermediate boson states are precisely the fermion pairs. Sec. 5 contains a summary of our conclusions and discussion of how these results may extend to $d > 2$ dimensions. There are two Appendices. The Fock space algebra of currents is computed first for the standard chiral bosonization of charge currents in Appendix A, and then secondly for the Virasoro algebra of stress-energy tensor moments in Appendix B.

2 Fermion Pairing and Bosonization in the Schwinger Model

2.1 Covariant Path Integral and Effective Action

Perhaps the best known example of the phenomenon of fermion pairing associated with an anomaly in relativistic QFT is the Schwinger model, *i.e.* massless QED in $d = 2$ dimensional

flat spacetime [5, 6]. We generalize this slightly and consider the action for N identical Dirac fermion species (flavors), and rescale the coupling $e^2 \to e^2/N$, so that we consider the theory described by the classical action

$$S_{\rm cl} = S_f[\psi,\overline{\psi};A] + \frac{N}{e^2}\,S_g[A] = i\int d^2x \sum_{j=1}^{N} \overline{\psi}_j\,\gamma^\mu(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu - iA_\mu)\psi_j - \frac{N}{4e^2}\int d^2x\,F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

As usual $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength tensor, whose only non-vanishing component in $d = 2$ is the electric field $F_{01} = -E = -F^{01}$. At certain points below we shall extend the model to include a fermion mass term $S_{\rm cl} \to S_{\rm cl} + \int d^2x\,m\overline{\psi}\psi$, but our primary focus is on the massless case.

The Dirac matrices obey the anti-commutation relations

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = 2\,\mathrm{diag}\,(+,-) = -2\,\eta^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.2}$$

in the flat metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ with $\eta^{00} = -1$. In two dimensions, these are satisfied in the 2×2 chiral representation in terms of the Pauli matrices by

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^1 = -i\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma_5 = \gamma^0\gamma^1 = \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.3}$$

with $\overline{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$, and $\gamma^0 = (\gamma^0)^\dagger$ Hermitian. Thus a free Dirac fermion in $1+1$ dimensions may be represented as a two-component complex spinor

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi_\pm = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1} \pm \gamma_5)\,\psi \tag{2.4}$$

with $(\mathbb{1} \pm \gamma_5)/2$ projecting out the right- and left-handed (right moving and left moving) single component Dirac fields $\psi_\pm$ respectively. For massless fermions these two components propagate independently.

A special property of the Dirac matrices in two dimensions is

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 = \gamma_\nu\,\epsilon^{\nu\mu} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\nu\mu}$ is the anti-symmetric symbol, defined so that $\epsilon_{01} = +1 = -\epsilon^{01}$, obeying

$$\epsilon^{\mu\lambda}\epsilon_{\nu\rho} = -\delta^\mu_{\ \nu}\delta^\lambda_{\ \rho} + \delta^\mu_{\ \rho}\delta^\lambda_{\ \nu}\,, \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\nu\rho} = \delta^\mu_{\ \rho}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Because of (2.5), the vector coupling of the Dirac fermions can equally well be expressed as a chiral coupling to the *dual* gauge field

$$\widetilde{A}_\mu \equiv \eta_{\mu\lambda}\epsilon^{\lambda\nu}A_\nu\,, \qquad A_\mu = \eta_{\mu\lambda}\epsilon^{\lambda\nu}\widetilde{A}_\nu\,, \qquad i.e. \qquad \widetilde{A}_0 = A_1\,, \quad \widetilde{A}_1 = A_0 \tag{2.7}$$

according to

$$\gamma^\mu A_\mu = \gamma^\mu\epsilon_\mu^{\ \nu}\widetilde{A}_\nu = \gamma^\nu\gamma_5\widetilde{A}_\nu\,. \tag{2.8}$$

This also implies that the vector and axial vector currents

$$j^\mu \equiv \frac{\delta S_f}{\delta A_\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\overline{\psi}_i\gamma^\mu\psi_i\,, \qquad\qquad j^\mu_5 \equiv \frac{\delta S_f}{\delta \widetilde{A}_\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\overline{\psi}_i\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi_i \tag{2.9}$$

are related by

$$j^\mu = j_5^\nu \, \epsilon_\nu{}^\mu \,, \qquad\qquad j_5^\mu = j^\nu \epsilon_\nu{}^\mu \,. \tag{2.10}$$

For free fermions, $e = 0$, each of these currents is classically conserved, corresponding to the invariance of fermion action S_f in (2.1) under both $U(1)$ vector gauge symmetry

$$\psi_i \;\; \to \;\; e^{i\alpha}\psi_i \tag{2.11a}$$

$$A_\mu \;\; \to \;\; A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha \tag{2.11b}$$

and the $U_A(1)$ axial transformation

$$\psi_i \;\; \to \;\; e^{i\beta\gamma_5}\psi_i \tag{2.12a}$$

$$\widetilde{A}_\mu \;\; \to \;\; \widetilde{A}_\mu + \partial_\mu \beta \,. \tag{2.12b}$$

The global versions of these symmetries would be sufficient to guarantee conservation of the Noether currents (2.9) in the free theory. As is well known, the vector and axial symmetries (2.11) and (2.12) cannot both be preserved at the quantum level, and conservation of (at most) only one of the two classical currents (2.9) can be maintained together with Lorentz invariance. Since as soon as $e \neq 0$, the gauge field action $S_g[A]$ is invariant only under the local vector symmetry (2.11), enforcing the choice of $U(1)$ vector gauge invariance

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

leads necessarily to a well-defined anomalous divergence of the axial current [32]

$$\partial_\mu j_5^\mu = \frac{N}{\pi}\,\widetilde{F} = -\frac{\delta \Gamma_{\rm eff}[\beta]}{\delta \beta} \tag{2.14}$$

where the pseudoscalar dual of the field strength tensor is

$$\widetilde{F} \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = E \,. \tag{2.15}$$

The second relation of (2.14) indicates that through the chiral anomaly the effective action of the fermions $\Gamma_{\rm eff}[\beta]$ necessarily acquires a non-vanishing dependence upon a $U_A(1)$ rotation (2.12) by β.

In any number of dimensions the decomposition of a vector field into its parallel and transverse components is

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^{\parallel} + A_\mu^{\perp} \tag{2.16a}$$

$$A_\mu^{\parallel} = \partial_\mu \Box^{-1}(\partial^\nu A_\nu) \equiv \partial_\mu \alpha \tag{2.16b}$$

$$A_\mu^{\perp} = (\delta_\mu{}^\nu - \partial_\mu \Box^{-1}\partial^\nu) A_\nu \,, \qquad \partial_\mu A^{\perp\,\mu} = 0 \tag{2.16c}$$

where $\Box^{-1}$ is the Green's function of the scalar wave operator $\Box$. The decomposition (2.16) is unique up to zero modes of $\Box$ (which we neglect for present purposes), and implies that the gauge invariant information resides in the transverse component $A_\mu^{\perp}$. A special property of two dimensions is that the transverse component can be written as the Hodge dual of a scalar gradient $A^{\perp\,\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu \beta$ [33]. Hence starting from $A_\mu = 0$, an arbitrary gauge potential is composed of a combined $U(1)$ and $U_A(1)$ transformation (2.11) and (2.12) in the form

$$A_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha + \eta_{\mu\lambda}\epsilon^{\lambda\nu}\partial_\nu \beta \tag{2.17}$$

and we obtain from this, (2.7) and (2.15) that

$$\widetilde{F} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A^\perp_\nu = \partial_\mu \widetilde{A}^\mu = \Box\beta\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Inserting this relation into (2.14) gives

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\rm eff}[\beta]}{\delta\beta} = -\frac{N}{\pi}\Box\beta \tag{2.19}$$

which being linear in β, allows for immediate integration to the one-loop effective action

$$\Gamma_{\rm eff}[\beta] = -\frac{N}{2\pi}\int d^2x\,\beta\Box\beta \tag{2.20}$$

quadratic in β. This action is exact (up to zero modes and an additive constant which we may set to zero at $\beta = 0$) and entirely determined by the chiral current anomaly.

Using (2.18) again to formally solve for $\beta = \Box^{-1}\widetilde{F}$ allows us to express the anomalous effective action (2.20) in the non-local gauge invariant form [34]

$$\Gamma_{\rm eff}[\beta] = -\frac{N}{2\pi}\int d^2x\int d^2x'\;\widetilde{F}_x\,(\Box^{-1})_{xx'}\,\widetilde{F}_{x'} = -\frac{N}{2\pi}\int d^2x\,A^\perp_\mu A^{\perp\mu} \equiv S_{\rm anom}[A]\,. \tag{2.21}$$

Thus the effect of integrating out the massless fermions in the functional integral [34, 35] is

$$Z_f^{(N)}[A] = \int\prod_{i=1}^{N}[\mathcal{D}\psi_i][\mathcal{D}\overline{\psi}_i]\exp\{iS_f[\psi,\overline{\psi};A]\} = [\det{}_F(\not\partial)]^N\exp\{iS_{\rm anom}[A]\} \tag{2.22}$$

with $S_{\rm anom}[A]$ given by (2.21). In the functional integral approach the breaking of chiral symmetry and the axial anomaly (2.14) may be ascribed to the non-invariance of the fermionic functional measure $\prod_{i=1}^{N}[\mathcal{D}\psi_i][\mathcal{D}\overline{\psi}_i]$ under the axial $U_A(1)$ transformation (2.12a) [36–39].

That all the gauge invariant information resides in $A^\perp_\mu$ which, owing to (2.17), is generated by a $U_A(1)$ axial transformation by β of the fermion determinant in two dimensions, and that this dependence upon β in (2.19) is only linear through the axial anomaly (2.14) so that the effective action (2.21) is purely quadratic in $A_\perp$ are the essential points leading to the Schwinger model being exactly soluble.

The appearance of the massless scalar propagator $(\Box^{-1})_{xx'}$ in the one-loop effective action (2.21) is the first indication that an effective scalar boson degree of freedom is associated with the chiral anomaly. Indeed a pseudoscalar boson field χ may be introduced so as to rewrite the result for the non-local effective action in (2.21)-(2.22) in the form

$$Z_f^{(N)}[A] = [\det{}_f(\not\partial)]^N[\det{}_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\int[\mathcal{D}\chi]\;\exp\{iS_{\rm anom}[\chi;A]\} \tag{2.23}$$

with the local bosonic action

$$S_{\rm anom}[\chi;A] \equiv \frac{N}{\pi}\int d^2x\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\chi\Box\chi - \widetilde{F}\,\chi\right) \tag{2.24}$$

accounting for the anomaly. By varying this action the local field χ satisfies the eq. of motion

$$\Box\chi = \widetilde{F} = \Box\beta \tag{2.25}$$

while performing the Gaussian integral over χ simply reproduces (2.22), when account is taken of the $[\det_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ prefactor in (2.23). Thus the pseudoscalar boson field χ is a completely gauge invariant local field equivalent to the pre-potential β determining the transverse gauge invariant part of the vector potential, up to homogeneous solutions of the two dimensional wave equation.

Varying (2.24) with respect to the vector potential A_μ or its dual $\widetilde{A}_\mu$ yields the vector and axial vector currents (2.9) in terms of the effective boson field χ as

$$j^\mu = \frac{\delta S_{\rm anom}}{\delta A_\mu} = -\frac{N}{\pi}\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\chi \qquad \text{and} \qquad j_5^\mu = \frac{\delta S_{\rm anom}}{\delta \widetilde{A}_\mu} = \frac{N}{\pi}\,\partial^\mu\chi \tag{2.26}$$

so that the axial anomaly (2.14) is recovered by the eq. of motion for χ (2.25)

$$\partial_\mu j_5^\mu = \frac{N}{\pi}\,\Box\chi = \frac{N}{\pi}\,\widetilde{F} \tag{2.27}$$

and the Maxwell eq. is

$$\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu(\Box\beta) = \frac{e^2}{N}\,j^\mu = -\frac{e^2}{\pi}\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\chi \tag{2.28}$$

with current conservation (2.13) becoming a topological identity, equivalent to the single-valuedness of χ.

Because $\widetilde{F}$ is a total derivative, *cf.* (2.18), its integral $\int d^2x\,\widetilde{F} = 2\pi\nu$ is a topological invariant, ν being the Pontryagin index, and the action (2.24) is invariant up to a surface term under the shift of χ by a spacetime constant. This leads to the existence of a Noether current

$$J_5^\mu \equiv j_5^\mu - \frac{N}{\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}A_\nu \equiv j_5^\mu + 2NK^\mu \tag{2.29}$$

which is gauge dependent but conserved, $\partial_\mu j_5^\mu[A] = 0$ by (2.14) and (2.15). Note also that (2.28) can be immediately integrated and implies

$$E = \Box\beta = \frac{e^2}{\pi}\,\chi + E_0 \tag{2.30}$$

where E_0 is a spacetime independent integration constant, that can be regarded as an external constant electric field. Since E_0 can be eliminated by shifting $\chi \to \chi - \pi E_0/e^2$, reference to (2.24) shows that this is equivalent to adding to the action a topological term $\theta N\nu$, with the arbitrary θ vacuum parameter of the Schwinger model given by $E_0 = e^2\theta/2$ in the present units [40].

The complete solution of the Schwinger model is achieved by making use of (2.23) together with the classical action in (2.1) to integrate over the inequivalent gauge orbits of the vector potential A_μ by means of the gauge invariant functional measure [41]

$$\frac{[\mathcal{D}A]}{\mathrm{Vol}\,[U(1)]} = [\det_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\,[\mathcal{D}A^\perp] = \det_B(-\Box)\,[\mathcal{D}\beta] \tag{2.31}$$

again up to zero modes. In these relations the determinants in the functional measure are Jacobians of the transformations from A_μ to $A_\mu^\perp$ to β. These Jacobians and in particular the last determinant in (2.31) are responsible for cancelling the contributions of the apparent ghost

in the gauge field action $S_g[A] = -1/4 \int d^2x F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = 1/2 \int d^2x (\Box\beta)^2$ in the latter higher derivative form expressed in terms of the gauge invariant chiral pre-potential β. The Gaussian integral over $A^\perp_\mu = \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu \partial_\nu \beta$ has a saddle point at $\widetilde{F} = e^2\chi/\pi$ and yields

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(N)} &= \int \frac{[\mathcal{D}A]}{\mathrm{Vol}\,[U(1)]} \exp\left\{\frac{iN}{e^2} S_g[A]\right\} Z_f^{(N)}[A] \\ &= [\det{}_f(\partial\!\!\!/)]^N [\det{}_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [\mathcal{D}\chi] \exp\left\{\frac{iN}{2\pi}\int d^2x \left(\chi\Box\chi - \frac{e^2}{\pi}\chi^2\right)\right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

We have retained the determinants in (2.22) and (2.23) in order to keep track of the number of local degrees of freedom, starting with N local fermionic degrees of freedom (and none originally in the vector potential, which is fully constrained by Gauss' Law in two dimensions). Since a Dirac fermion with anti-periodic boundary conditions is equivalent to a single scalar with periodic boundary conditions in two dimensions, the functional determinants satisfy [38]

$$\det{}_f(\partial\!\!\!/) = [\det{}_B(-\Box)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.33}$$

so that for $N = 1$ one obtains from (2.32) with (2.33)

$$Z_{\mathrm{Schw}} = Z^{(N=1)} = \int [\mathcal{D}\chi] \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2\pi}\int d^2x \left(\chi\Box\chi - \frac{e^2}{\pi}\chi^2\right)\right\} \tag{2.34}$$

which is exactly the expression for a single real propagating pseudoscalar boson field χ with mass $M_\chi^2 = e^2/\pi$, recovering the well-known result for the Schwinger model [6, 7]. Because of relation (2.33), for $N > 1$ (2.32) defines a theory of a single massive boson with mass $M_\chi^2 = e^2/\pi$ and $N-1$ massless bosons [10, 18, 42].

It is clear from the final form (2.34) for $N = 1$ that one has traded the original single fermion degree of freedom for a single boson degree of freedom χ, which is a *bona fide* propagating field in its own right, with its kinetic term $\chi\Box\chi$ generated by the axial anomaly. The number of overall local degrees of freedom is conserved. Comparing the expressions for the currents (2.9) and (2.26), it is also clear that the boson field χ is bilinear in $\overline{\psi}$ and ψ, and hence is made up of a fermion/anti-fermion pair. This is a relativistic version of the Cooper pairing phenomenon familiar in non-relativistic many-body theory, and the BCS theory of superconductivity [1].

We conclude this section with a few additional remarks. First, the anomaly may also be regarded in effect as giving rise to a gauge invariant mass term $A^\perp_\mu A^{\perp\mu}$ for the gauge field in (2.21), as the functional integral of the Schwinger model may also be written in the form

$$Z_{\mathrm{Schw}} = \int [\mathcal{D}A^\perp_\mu] \exp\left\{\frac{iN}{2e^2}\int d^2x \left(A^\perp_\mu \Box A^{\perp\,\mu} - \frac{e^2}{\pi} A^\perp_\mu A^{\perp\,\mu}\right)\right\} \tag{2.35}$$

when use is made of (2.21), (2.31) and (2.33). However, this interpretation of a propagating massive boson only makes sense because the anomaly through fermion pairing has rendered the gauge field into an effective propagating degree of freedom, whereas it was totally constrained by Gauss' Law in the classical theory. Notice also that this interpretation does not require fixing a gauge, and as use of the gauge invariant measure (2.31) makes clear, the mass term is fully gauge invariant. This gauge invariant mass generation for a gauge field is basically the Stueckelberg mechanism [43, 44] for mass generation which would serve as a prototype for the Higgs mechanism in the Standard Model. In the limit of vanishing coupling $e \to 0$, the gauge field remains massless. The gauge field propagating mode with a finite screening length

is a relativistic version of collective excitations familiar in many-body systems, induced *e.g.* in superconductors (Meissner effect) and finite temperature plasmas (Debye screening).

Next we note that had we performed the functional integral in the opposite order, integrating first over the vector potential, we would have obtained the gauge invariant result

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(N)} &= \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} [\mathcal{D}\psi_i][\mathcal{D}\overline{\psi}_i] \int \frac{[\mathcal{D}A]}{\mathrm{Vol}\,[U(1)]} \, \exp\left\{ iS_f[\psi,\overline{\psi};A] + \frac{iN}{e^2}\, S_g[A] \right\} \\ &= \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} [\mathcal{D}\psi_i][\mathcal{D}\overline{\psi}_i] \, \exp\left\{ i\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int d^2x\, \overline{\psi}_j\, \gamma^\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu \psi_j - \frac{ie^2}{2N} \int d^2x \int d^2x'\, j^\mu_x (\Box)^{-1}_{xx'}\, j_{\mu\, x'} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

which is a theory of N massless fermions with a four-fermion current-current interaction between them. Except for its non-locality this is again similar to the starting point for BCS theory [1].

Due to the conservation of the charge current j^μ, its space and time components are not independent, and $j^1 = -(\partial_x)^{-1}\dot{\rho}$, so that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \int d^2x'\, j^\mu_x \left(\frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{\Box}\right)_{xx'} j^\nu_{x'} = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt \int dx \int dx'\, \rho(t,x)\, \frac{1}{\partial_x^2}\, \rho(t,x') \tag{2.37}$$

is in fact a instantaneous Coulomb interaction between the two charge densities $\rho = j^0$ at spatial positions x and x'. It is remarkable that this apparently non-local (but also apparently non-anomalous) theory of massless fermions interacting by their mutual long range Coulomb interaction becomes the *local* theory of a single *non-interacting* but *massive boson* χ, together with $N-1$ free fermions in (2.32) via the previous route of the axial anomaly. For strictly zero coupling $e = 0$, the free fermion and free boson representations are equivalent. However as soon as $e \neq 0$, no matter how small its magnitude, the attractive Coulomb interaction between the fermions and anti-fermions destabilizes the free massless fermion ground state, and leads to the ground state or vacuum of a massive bound state boson instead, again reminiscent of the Cooper instability and pairing phenomenon [1].

Finally we note that the effect of functionally integrating over the chiral boson in (2.23) is up to the boson determinant and use of the saddle point eq. (2.25) equivalent to the previous form (2.22), so that we may equally well write

$$Z_f^{(N)}[A] = [\det{}_f(\partial\!\!\!/)]^N \, \exp\{iS_{\mathrm{anom}}[\chi;A]\}\Big|_{\Box\chi = \widetilde{F}} \, . \tag{2.38}$$

This shows that the one-loop generating functional of axial or electromagnetic current correlators in the original free N-fermion quantum theory is mapped (up to a multiplicative constant independent of A_μ) to the tree diagrams of the classical bosonic action (2.24), with the chiral boson field sourced by $\widetilde{F}$ according to (2.25). The equivalence of the quantum one-loop fermion theory to tree level boson will be shown explicitly in current correlation functions in the next section.

2.2 Correlation Functions of Currents, Spectral Function and Sum Rule

The exact quantum effective action (2.21) resulting from integrating out the fermions arises entirely from the one-loop diagram in Fig. 1a, which in Fourier space is

$$i \int d^2x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\, \langle 0|\mathcal{T} j_5^\lambda(x) j^\nu(0)|0\rangle = -\epsilon^{\lambda\mu}\, \Pi_\mu^{\ \nu}(k)\big|_{m=0,d=2} \tag{2.39}$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes time-ordering and

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{2N}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\,\Gamma\left(2-\tfrac{d}{2}\right)\left(k^\mu k^\nu - k^2\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\int_0^1 dx\, x(1-x)\left[k^2x(1-x)+m^2\right]^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \tag{2.40}$$

is the vacuum polarization in general d dimensions for N fermions of mass m. For $d=2$ this is finite, and for massless fermions $m=0$ it becomes simply

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k)\big|_{m=0,d=2} = \left(k^\mu k^\nu - k^2\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\frac{N}{\pi k^2}\,. \tag{2.41}$$

Multiplying (2.39) by ik_λ and substituting (2.41) gives the axial anomaly eq. (2.14). The anomaly for massless fermions is thus intimately connected to the pole at $k^2=0$ in (2.41).

The $1/k^2$ massless pole in (2.41) in the one-loop fermion polarization corresponds to the physical propagator of the single effective bosonic degree of freedom χ which is massless in the absence of electromagnetic interactions, becoming massive in the Schwinger model according to (2.34). Comparing (2.9) and (2.26), it is clear that the pseudoscalar effective boson field χ is related to fermion bilinears. Indeed substituting (2.26) the one-loop fermion vacuum polarization $\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k)$ can be written in terms of a *tree* amplitude for the boson χ according to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) &= i\int d^2x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\,\langle 0|\mathcal{T}j^\mu(x)j^\nu(0)|0\rangle \\
&= \frac{N^2}{\pi^2}\epsilon^{\mu\lambda}\epsilon^{\nu\rho}k_\lambda k_\rho\int d^2x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\, i\langle 0|\mathcal{T}\chi(x)\chi(0)|0\rangle \\
&= \frac{N^2}{\pi^2}\epsilon^{\mu\lambda}\epsilon^{\nu\rho}k_\lambda k_\rho\,\frac{\pi}{Nk^2} \\
&= \left(k^\mu k^\nu - k^2\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\frac{N}{\pi k^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

where we have used the normalization of the χ propagator from (2.32). The $1/k^2$ pole is the signal of a propagating (pseudo)scalar degree of freedom in the quantum theory not present in the classical action (2.1). It is a quantum effect of the fermion pairing in the two-particle fermion sector involving the correlation of two currents, hence four fermion operators, which may be re-expressed in terms of an effective single particle bosonic theory and tree amplitude (in which $\hbar$ is a parameter). It is clear from (2.39)-(2.42) that for massless fermions $m=0$ and in the limit of vanishing coupling to the electric field, $e\to 0$, the pairing of fermions to form a massless boson and the massless pole in (2.41) is associated with the axial anomaly, quite apart from the classical gauge field action $S_g[A]$, and in this limit the boson in (2.34) or the propagating electric potential in (2.35) remains massless. Indeed considering the full gauge field inverse propagator function, *cf.* (2.35) with (2.16c),

$$(\mathcal{D}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(k) = (\mathcal{D}_0^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(k) - \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) = -N(k^\mu k^\nu - k^2\eta^{\mu\nu})\left[\frac{1}{e^2}+\frac{1}{\pi k^2}\right] \tag{2.43}$$

we observe that when $e^2>0$, the massless $1/k^2$ pole combines with the classical contribution $1/e^2$ so that $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$ vanishes at $-k^2 = M_\chi^2 = e^2/\pi$. This corresponds to a propagator $(e^2/N)(k^2+M_\chi^2)^{-1}$ with a pole at this value of k^2, which is that of a massive boson. The massless anomaly pole in the polarization (2.41) indicates a propagating bosonic excitation, but only when the classical gauge field action $S_g[A]$ is added to the fermion theory with a finite dimensionful coupling e^2 in (2.32) or (2.43) does this boson couple to the gauge field and is a finite mass for it generated.

The equality of the massless fermion loop (2.41) and massless boson tree amplitude (2.42) (with $e \to 0$) is illustrated diagrammatically in Fig. 1. Notice that because of the linear dependence of the currents upon χ in (2.26), and the absence of any A_μ dependence of the χ propagator itself, Fig. 1 is the only diagram generated by the tree effective action in (2.38). Correspondingly the correlation function of two currents is the only connected correlation function in the theory, and the full equivalence of fermion loop to scalar tree is contained in only the diagrams represented in Fig. 1.



(a) The one-loop fermion polarization diagram.



(b) The pseudoscalar tree diagram.

Figure 1: Equivalence of one-loop fermion polarization diagram with pseudoscalar tree.

It is interesting to examine what happens to the massless $1/k^2$ pole if the theory is deformed away from exactly zero fermion mass. Considering again the vacuum polarization with massive fermions in (2.40), we may introduce the spectral representation by inserting within the Feynman parameter integral in (2.40) the identity

$$1 = \int_0^\infty ds\, \delta\left(s - \frac{m^2}{x(1-x)}\right) \tag{2.44}$$

and interchanging the s and x integrals to obtain

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k)\big|_{d=2} = (k^\mu k^\nu - k^2\eta^{\mu\nu}) \int_0^\infty ds\, \frac{\varrho_J(s)}{k^2+s} \tag{2.45}$$

with

$$\varrho_J(s) \equiv \frac{N}{\pi}\int_0^1 dx\, \delta\left(s - \frac{m^2}{x(1-x)}\right) = \frac{2N}{\pi}\frac{m^2}{s^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{s}}}\,\theta(s-4m^2)\,. \tag{2.46}$$

This spectral function is illustrated in Fig. 2.

As is clear from its definition (2.46) or by direct integration, in $d = 2$ dimensions the spectral function $\varrho_J(s)$ obeys the ultraviolet finite sum rule

$$\int_0^\infty ds\, \varrho_J(s) = \frac{N}{\pi} \tag{2.47}$$

for any $m^2 \geq 0$. On the other hand from (2.46), when $m \to 0$, $\varrho_J(s)$ vanishes for all $s > 0$. This is consistent with the sum rule only by $\varrho_J(s)$ becoming a $\delta(s)$ function distribution in the limit of zero fermion mass. Indeed from the first expression in (2.46) we see that

$$\lim_{m\to 0}\varrho_J(s) = \frac{N}{\pi}\int_0^1 dx\,\delta(s) = \frac{N}{\pi}\,\delta(s)\,. \tag{2.48}$$



Figure 2: The spectral function (2.46) for finite fermion mass m as a function of s/m^2, shown here for $N = 1$. The area under the curve $\varrho_J(s)$ shown in gray obeys the finite sum rule (2.47).

Substituting this into (2.45) recovers (2.41) in the massless limit. Thus the effect of the fermion mass perturbation is to spread the infinitely sharp $\delta(s)$ peak in the spectral function to a distribution in center of mass energy s over a few times m^2 above the threshold $s > 4m^2$. The ultraviolet sum rule expresses the fact that the pseudoscalar boson degree of freedom remains in the two-particle fermion sector for any positive fermion mass, becoming however a resonance rather than an isolated pole if $m > 0$. The fermion pairing due to the axial anomaly does not disappear even for finite fermion mass, and because of the sum rule (2.47) the boson field χ becomes the appropriate description again when $s \gg 4m^2$.

The Schwinger term in the commutator of currents is also directly related to the axial anomaly and sum rule. The expectation value of the commutator of two currents is given by the discontinuity of the polarization tensor from $k^0 + i\epsilon$ to $k^0 - i\epsilon$, *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} i\Big\langle \big[j^\mu(t,x), j^\nu(t',x')\big]\Big\rangle &= i\int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2}\, e^{-ik^0(t-t')+ik^1(x-x')}\; 2\,\mathrm{Im}\,\big[\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k^0+i\epsilon,k^1)\big] \\ &= i\int \frac{d^2k}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik^0(t-t')+ik^1(x-x')}\,(k^\mu k^\nu - k^2\eta^{\mu\nu})\int_0^\infty ds\,\varrho_J(s)\;\mathrm{sgn}(k^0)\;\delta\big(-(k^0)^2+(k^1)^2+s\big) \\ &= (\eta^{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu)\int_0^\infty ds\,\varrho_J(s)\,D(t-t',x-x';s) \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D(t,x;s) &= i\int \frac{d^2k}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik^0t+ik^1x}\;\mathrm{sgn}(k^0)\,\delta\big(-(k^0)^2+(k^1)^2+s\big) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk^1}{2\pi}\, e^{ik^1x}\;\frac{\sin\big(t\sqrt{(k^1)^2+s}\big)}{\sqrt{(k^1)^2+s}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)\,\theta(t^2-x^2)\,J_0\Big(\sqrt{s(t^2-x^2)}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

is the Pauli-Jordan commutator function for a scalar field of mass $\sqrt{s}$ in two dimensions. Since $D(t,x;s)$ is an odd function of t and satisfies

$$\partial_t\, D(t,x;s)\big|_{t=0} = \delta(x) \qquad \text{but} \qquad \partial_t^{2\ell}\, D(t,x;s)\big|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{2.51}$$

for any even number of time derivatives and any s, only the $\mu \neq \nu$ term with one time derivative in (2.49) survives when evaluated at $t = t'$, and we find the equal time commutator

$$\Big\langle \big[j^0(t,x), j^1(t,x')\big] \Big\rangle = -i\,\partial_x\,\delta(x-x') \int_0^\infty ds\,\varrho_J(s) = -\frac{iN}{\pi}\,\partial_x\,\delta(x-x') \tag{2.52}$$

as a consequence of the sum rule (2.47). This is the expectation value of the anomalous Schwinger equal time commutator [45] for N identical fermions of any mass.

In fact the Schwinger anomalous commutator (2.52) is exact at the operator level as may be seen from the boson field representation of the currents in (2.26), since

$$\big[j^0(t,x), j^1(t,x')\big] = -\frac{N^2}{\pi^2}\,[\partial_x\chi(t,x), \partial_t\chi(t,x')] = -\frac{iN}{\pi}\,\partial_x\,\delta(x-x') \tag{2.53}$$

as a consequence of the equal time canonical commutation relation of the χ field,

$$i\,\big[\dot\chi(t,x'), \chi(t,x)\big] = \frac{\pi}{N}\,\delta(x-x') \tag{2.54}$$

normalized as in (2.32). For massless fermions the unequal time commutation function of currents becomes simply

$$\big[j^\mu(t,x), j^\nu(t',x')\big]\Big|_{m=0} = -\frac{iN}{\pi}(\eta^{\mu\nu}\,\Box - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu)\,D_0(t-t', x-x') \tag{2.55}$$

where

$$D_0(t,x) \equiv D(t,x;s=0) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)\,\theta(t^2-x^2) \tag{2.56}$$

is the commutator function for a massless scalar in two dimensions.

Thus the $1/k^2$ pole in the correlation function of massless fermion currents (2.41), the ultraviolet sum rule for the spectral function (2.47), the Schwinger term in the equal time commutator of currents (2.52), and the fermion pairing and bosonization formulae (2.9) and (2.26) are all related to and derivable from the axial anomaly (2.14). The effects of the axial anomaly persist in the sum rule and Schwinger term even if the fermions are massive, although only if they are massless does the chiral boson pairing field χ describe a pseudoscalar state with a mass sharply defined by δ-function support only at $k^2 = 0$, rather than a broader resonance as in Fig. 2, and only in the case of massless fermions is the theory exactly soluble.

2.3 Boson Operators and the Schwinger Term

The previous treatment of the Schwinger model by functional integral and covariant methods readily shows all of its essential features. Fermion pairing in the Schwinger model may be realized explicitly also by canonical boson operators in Fock space [46–48]. We review this standard operator bosonization related to the axial anomaly in order to compare and contrast it with the corresponding pairing and effective scalar related to the 2D conformal anomaly in the next section.

Let us first consider the case of a single fermion ($N = 1$). The Dirac eq. in the chiral representation gives

$$(\partial_t \pm \partial_x)\psi_\pm = 0 \tag{2.57}$$

for the single component right and left moving massless chiral fields. Thus each may be expanded in Fourier modes,

$$\psi_\pm(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{q\geq\frac{1}{2}} \left(b_q^{(\pm)} e^{-i\tilde{k}_q(t\mp x)} + d_q^{(\pm)\dagger} e^{i\tilde{k}_q(t\mp x)} \right) \tag{2.58}$$

where

$$\tilde{k}_q = \frac{2\pi q}{L} \tag{2.59}$$

and q is a half-integer for anti-periodic boundary conditions on the interval $x \in [0, L]$. The fermion Fock space operators obey the anti-commutation relations

$$\left\{ b_q^{(\pm)}, b_{q'}^{(\pm)\dagger} \right\}_+ = \delta_{q,q'} = \left\{ d_q^{(\pm)}, d_{q'}^{(\pm)\dagger} \right\}_+ \tag{2.60}$$

and the free fermion vacuum is defined by

$$b_q^{(\pm)}|0\rangle = d_q^{(\pm)}|0\rangle = 0\,. \tag{2.61}$$

To simplify notation somewhat one can define

$$c^{(\pm)}_{q\geq\frac{1}{2}} \equiv b_q^{(\pm)}\,, \qquad\qquad c^{(\pm)}_{q\leq-\frac{1}{2}} \equiv d_{-q}^{(\pm)\,\dagger} \tag{2.62}$$

so that

$$\psi_\pm(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} c_q^{(\pm)} e^{-i\tilde{k}_q t}\, e^{\pm i\tilde{k}_q x} \tag{2.63}$$

and the anti-commutation relations

$$\left\{ c_q^{(\pm)}, c_{q'}^{(\pm)\dagger} \right\}_+ = \delta_{q,q'}\,, \qquad \left\{ c_q^{(\pm)}, c_{q'}^{(\pm)} \right\}_+ = \left\{ c_q^{(\pm)\dagger}, c_{q'}^{(\pm)\dagger} \right\}_+ = 0 \tag{2.64}$$

hold, for all (both positive and negative) half-integers $q \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$.

Bearing in mind that normal ordering of the fermion operators is defined with respect to the fermion vacuum (2.61), and $c^{(\pm)\,\dagger}_{q\leq-\frac{1}{2}}$ as defined by the Hermitian conjugate of (2.62) is an annihilation operator, the normal ordered fermion charge density operator for a single fermion

$$j^0 = :\overline{\psi}(t,x)\gamma^0\psi(t,x): = :\overline{\psi}(t,x)\gamma^1\gamma_5\psi(t,x): = :\psi_+^\dagger\psi_+: \;+\; :\psi_-^\dagger\psi_-: \tag{2.65}$$

and the current density operator

$$j^1 = :\overline{\psi}(t,x)\gamma^1\psi(t,x): = :\overline{\psi}(t,x)\gamma^0\gamma_5\psi(t,x): = :\psi_+^\dagger\psi_+: \;-\; :\psi_-^\dagger\psi_-: \tag{2.66}$$

can be expressed in terms of the fermion bilinears via

$$:\psi_\pm^\dagger\psi_\pm: = \frac{1}{L}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho_n^{(\pm)}\, e^{-ik_n t}\, e^{\pm ik_n x} \tag{2.67}$$

with

$$\rho_n^{(\pm)} \equiv \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} :c_{q-n}^{(\pm)\dagger} c_q^{(\pm)}: \equiv \sum_{q\geq\frac{1}{2}} c_{q-n}^{(\pm)\,\dagger} c_q^{(\pm)} - \sum_{q\leq-\frac{1}{2}} c_q^{(\pm)} c_{q-n}^{(\pm)\,\dagger}\,. \tag{2.68}$$

Note that

$$k_n = \frac{2\pi n}{L} \tag{2.69}$$

so that $\rho_n^{(\pm)}$ is defined by (2.68) for all integers n, and is periodic on the interval $x \in [0, L]$.

From the hermiticity of (2.67) or from (2.68) it follows (by shifting $q \to q+n$ and regrouping terms) that

$$\rho_n^{(\pm)\,\dagger} = \rho_{-n}^{(\pm)} \qquad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{2.70}$$

Note that for $n > 0$ $\rho_n^{(\pm)}$ may also be written in terms of the physical fermion creation and annihilation operators in the form

$$\rho_{n>0}^{(\pm)} = \sum_{q=\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} d_{n-q}^{(\pm)} b_q^{(\pm)} + \sum_{q=n+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} b_{q-n}^{(\pm)\dagger} b_q^{(\pm)} - \sum_{q\le-\frac{1}{2}} d_{-q}^{(\pm)\dagger} d_{n-q}^{(\pm)}\,. \tag{2.71}$$

The $n = 0$ densities

$$\rho_0^{(\pm)} = Q_\pm = \int_0^L dx\ :\psi_\pm^\dagger \psi_\pm: = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} :c_q^{(\pm)\dagger} c_q^{(\pm)}: = \sum_{q\ge\frac{1}{2}} c_q^{(\pm)\dagger}\, c_q^{(\pm)} - \sum_{q\le-\frac{1}{2}} c_q^{(\pm)}\, c_q^{(\pm)\dagger} \tag{2.72}$$

are total charge operators for right and left moving fermions respectively.

It is clear that the mixed commutator of left and right movers $[\rho_n^{(\mp)}, \rho_{n'}^{(\pm)}] = 0$, while a short calculation, *cf.* Appendix A, shows that

$$[\rho_n^{(\pm)}, \rho_{n'}^{(\pm)}] = n\,\delta_{n,-n'} \qquad \text{so that} \qquad [\rho_n^{(\pm)}, \rho_{n'}^{(\pm)\,\dagger}] = n\,\delta_{n,n'}\,. \tag{2.73}$$

This finite non-zero commutator for the Fourier moments of the charge densities is anomalous, since a naive computation ignoring the normal ordering in (2.68) and freely shifting the q indices in the unregulated sums gives zero. With proper normal ordering with respect to the fermion vacuum, the expectation value of the equal time commutator currents is instead from (2.65)-(2.67) and (2.73)

$$\begin{aligned}[j^0(t,x), j^1(t,x')]\big|_{N=1} &= \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} n e^{ik_n(x-x')} - \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} n e^{-ik_n(x-x')} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi L}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} k_n e^{ik_n(x-x')} = -\frac{i}{\pi}\,\partial_x\delta(x-x')\end{aligned} \tag{2.74}$$

for a single fermion ($N = 1$). Thus (2.52) for the expectation value is verified to be an exact result, valid at the operator level, as is also expected from (2.53).

Since the anomalous commutator (2.73) is a c-number, the current algebra for $n > 0$ is isomorphic to the canonical algebra of a bosonic field operator, which we now construct as follows. Let us define

$$a_n^{(\pm)} \equiv -\frac{i}{\sqrt{|n|}}\,\rho_n^{(\pm)}\,, \qquad n \neq 0\,, \qquad N = 1 \tag{2.75}$$

which, for n strictly positive, obey the canonical commutation relations,

$$[a_n^{(\pm)}, a_{n'}^{(\pm)\dagger}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nn'}}\,[\rho_n^{(\pm)}, \rho_{n'}^{(\pm)\,\dagger}] = \delta_{n,n'} \tag{2.76}$$

and construct the boson field operators

$$\phi_\pm(t,x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi n}} \left(a_n^{(\pm)} e^{-ik_n(t\mp x)} + a_n^{(\pm)\dagger} e^{ik_n(t\mp x)} \right) + \phi_\pm^0(t,x) \tag{2.77}$$

where $\phi_\pm^0$ is the contribution of the $n = 0$ mode, which must be treated separately. Since from (2.75) $a_n^{(\pm)\dagger} = -a_{-n}^{(\pm)}$, the mode sum in (2.77) may also be expressed as a sum over all the non-zero integers, but as we wish to keep track of positive and negative energies in what follows, we keep $n \geq 0$. The interpretation of the bosonic operators a_n and $a_n^\dagger$ for $n > 0$ in (2.75) is that they either move a fermion from an occupied state to an unoccupied state, or they create (or destroy) a particle-hole state. Since these operations do not change the fermion number, a_n and $a_n^\dagger$ commute with $Q_\pm$, and the Fock space they span has *fixed charges* $Q_\pm$ or total numbers of left and right movers [11–13, 47, 48]. Hence the bosonization of the full fermion Fock space is incomplete without inclusion of the $n = 0$ modes, which as we now show involves the raising and lowering operators of right and left moving fermion number.

The form of the zero mode completion of $\phi_\pm$ is determined by the following considerations. First, the $\phi_\pm^0$ are linearly independent and each must be a function only of $t \mp x$. Second, a limiting process $k_n \to 0$ of the mode functions in (2.77) shows that they can be at most only *linear* functions of the variable $t \mp x$. Third, relations (2.65) and (2.66) determine the coefficients of the linear dependence of $\phi_\pm^0$ on $t \mp x$ in terms of $Q_\pm$. Finally, the normalization of the constant terms, to be called $R_\pm$, can be chosen so that

$$\phi_\pm^0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} R_\pm + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{L} Q_\pm (t \mp x) \tag{2.78}$$

with the canonical commutation relations

$$[R_\pm, Q_\pm] = i\,, \qquad [R_\pm, Q_\mp] = 0 \tag{2.79}$$

between the Hermitian 'coordinates' $R_\pm$ and the corresponding 'momenta' $Q_\pm$ for the right and left moving fields respectively. Thus the $R_\pm$ are the fermion number changing operators needed to span the original full fermion Hilbert space. Moreover since

$$[\phi_\pm^0, \dot{\phi}_\pm^0] = \frac{i}{2L} \tag{2.80}$$

it is now easily verified that with the inclusion of the zero modes the equal time canonical commutation relations

$$[(\phi_+ \pm \phi_-)_{t,x}\ , (\dot{\phi}_+ \pm \dot{\phi}_-)_{t,x'}] = \frac{i}{L} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{ik_n(x-x')} = i\,\delta(x-x') \tag{2.81}$$

are fulfilled on the finite interval $[0, L]$. Note that the $n = 0$ term in this sum comes from the zero mode commutators (2.80), which is necessary to complete the delta function coming from the non-zero mode Fock space. Thus, with the zero modes (2.78) included, the sum or difference of the right and left moving field operators (2.77) each define complete canonical local boson fields, the sum a scalar and the difference a pseudoscalar field.

Taking the derivatives of the boson field operators (2.77) with respect to x and t, and using the definition (2.75), as well as (2.67), (2.69), (2.70), and (2.78), (2.65) and (2.66) become

$$j^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,\partial_x\big(\phi_+ - \phi_-\big) \tag{2.82a}$$

$$j^1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,\partial_t\big(\phi_+ - \phi_-\big)\,, \qquad (N=1) \tag{2.82b}$$

in terms of the full quantum pseudoscalar field including its zero mode contributions. Thus the fermion current components can be expressed in terms of the pseudoscalar boson, as expected by our previous consideration of the axial anomaly. The description of the current components in terms of derivatives of the scalar sum $\phi_+ + \phi_-$ is suited instead to the inequivalent dual theory in which the vector current is anomalous and the chiral symmetry is maintained at the quantum level. The commutator of current components (2.55) again follows as does the Schwinger anomalous equal time commutator (2.53) directly from the canonical commutation relation (2.81) and (2.82) at the operator level.

When $N > 1$, the anomalous commutator (2.74) acquires a factor of N. Hence the currents themselves in (2.82) must acquire a factor of $\sqrt{N}$ in order for the pseudoscalar boson $\phi_+ - \phi_-$ to remain canonically normalized by (2.81), *i.e.*

$$j^0 = \sqrt{\frac{N}{\pi}}\,\partial_x\big(\phi_+ - \phi_-\big) \tag{2.83a}$$

$$j^1 = -\sqrt{\frac{N}{\pi}}\,\partial_t\big(\phi_+ - \phi_-\big)\,. \tag{2.83b}$$

Dividing by $\sqrt{N}$ this is equivalent to defining the canonical Fock space operators in (2.75) by

$$a_n^{(\pm)} \equiv -\frac{i}{\sqrt{|n|N}}\,\rho_{n,N}^{(\pm)}, \qquad n \neq 0 \tag{2.84}$$

with $\rho_{n,N}^{(\pm)}$ the Fourier moments of the currents $j^0 \pm j^1$ for N fermions (recall Eqs. (2.65)-(2.67)).

Comparing the rescaled currents with those in the functional integral representation (2.32) in (2.26), we see that the field χ of the previous section is related to the canonically normalized quantum pseudoscalar operator field of this section by

$$\chi = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{N}}\,\big(\phi_+ - \phi_-\big) \tag{2.85}$$

for N identical fermions, consistent with the normalization of the commutation relation (2.54). The scaling of the currents (2.83) or (2.84) with $\sqrt{N}$ as opposed to linearly in N is due to the fact that whereas *classical* currents in (2.26) scale linearly with the total number of particle species, the *quantum fluctuations* in these currents encoded in (2.74) and the commutation relations (2.81) are suppressed with respect to these by $\sqrt{\hbar/N}$. The effective loop expansion parameter is therefore $\hbar/N$. A classical condensate of $\phi_\pm$ would be larger than the quantum fields in (2.77) by a factor of $\sqrt{N/\hbar}$, and give a classical χ of order N^0 and currents in (2.26) of order N.

This establishes the complete equivalence of the covariant functional integral approach of Sec. 2, and the Fock space operator description of this section. In the latter approach the normal ordering prescription which takes proper account of the filled Dirac sea is critical to obtaining correct finite results consistent with the covariant anomaly encoded in the amplitude (2.39) and

Schwinger commutator (2.74). Indeed the anomaly itself may be regarded as a consequence of the Dirac sea filled to an infinite depth [45].

An interesting point to notice about the construction of the boson operators (2.77) is that whereas the non-zero modes are strictly periodic on the interval, the zero modes (2.78) are not. Instead only the exponential operators $\exp(2i\sqrt{\pi}\phi_\pm)$ are periodic in the representation where $Q_\pm$ are diagonal and take on integer values. The operators $U_\pm = \exp(iR_\pm)$ and $U^\dagger = U^{-1} = \exp(-iR_\pm)$ are the raising and lowering operators needed to change the right and left moving fermion numbers $Q_\pm$ by one unit. Together with the $n > 0$ bosonic Fock space operators in (2.75) they span the entire original fermionic Fock space, thereby completing the bosonization and making it fully invertible in terms of $\exp(2i\sqrt{\pi}\phi_\pm)$ ('re-fermionization'). In the condensed matter literature the exponentials of the zero modes (2.78) are referred to as *Klein factors* [47].

In the field theory context these zero modes are called *winding modes* [11, 35], because the fixed $Q_\pm$ sectors of the Hilbert space are sectors of fixed Chern-Simons winding number

$$N_{\rm CS} \equiv \int K^\mu \, d\Sigma_\mu = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int \epsilon^{\mu\nu} A_\nu d\Sigma_\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^L A_1 dx \tag{2.86}$$

given by the time component of the topological current $K^\mu = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}A_\nu$ integrated over the spatial interval $[0, L]$. The Chern-Simons number (2.86) is invariant under gauge transformations periodic on the interval, but changes by an integer $N_{\rm CS} \to N_{\rm CS} + q$ under 'large' gauge transformations $A_1 \to A_1 - iU_q^{-1}\partial_x U_q$ where $U_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i q x/L)$ is the holonomic winding of the $U(1)$ phase q times as x varies over $[0, L]$. The electric charge $Q_+ + Q_-$ is conserved, but the axial charge $Q_5 = Q_+ - Q_-$ changes by $2Nq$ units under such a transformation. The winding sectors are in one-to-one correspondence with the integers characterizing the topologically distinct mappings of the $U(1)$ Wilson loop phase $\exp(i\int_0^L dx A_1) = \exp(i\oint dx^\mu A_\mu)$ winding around the non-contractible loop of spatially periodic interval $[0, L]$ with its endpoints identified, which is the mapping $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ with fundamental group $\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \mathbb{Z}$. The phase of the Wilson loop may also be recognized as the Aharonov-Bohm phase of the non-contractible loop around the spacetime tube $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ thought of as a 'solenoid' threaded by a quantized magnetic flux in the three-dimensional flat spacetime in which the two-dimensional cylinder can be embedded.

2.4 Intermediate Pair States of $\langle jj \rangle$

In order to see explicitly how the fermion pairing into an effective bosonic degree of freedom works in detail, and how the fermion loop can be represented as a boson tree as in Fig. 1, we consider next the intermediate Fock states that contribute to the current-current correlation function (2.41) in both the massless fermionic and bosonic representations.

In the original fermionic representation the vacuum polarization (2.41), given by the one-loop diagram of Fig. 1a implies that the cut intermediate states are two-particle fermion states. Considering first the case of $N = 1$, the general on-shell normalized two-fermion state is

$$|q, s; q', s'\rangle \equiv b_q^{(s)\dagger} d_{q'}^{(s')\dagger} |0\rangle \tag{2.87}$$

in the representation (2.58), where the indices $s, s' = \pm$ distinguish left and right moving fermions. The half-integer indices $q, q' \geq \frac{1}{2}$ are to be summed over all allowed positive values and $s, s' = \pm$ in the intermediate state sum.

On the other hand, in the bosonic representation the on-shell normalized single boson state is

$$|n,s\rangle = a_n^{(s)\dagger}|0\rangle = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{q=\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} b_q^{(s)\dagger} d_{n-q}^{(s)\,\dagger}|0\rangle \tag{2.88}$$

which is a particular *coherent superposition* of two-fermion states (2.87). Thus it is not obvious *a priori* that the sum over this very different restricted set of intermediate states will yield the same result for $\langle jj\rangle$ as the that of summing over all two-fermion states (2.87) with no restrictions. We will now show that nevertheless the sum over the coherent boson states (2.88) coincides with the sum over unrestricted two-fermion states (2.87).

For the sum over general two-fermion intermediate states we first note that

$$\langle 0|j^\mu(t,x)|q,s;q',s'\rangle = \exp\left[-i\,t\,E(q,q') + i\,x\,p_{s,s'}(q,q')\right]\,\langle 0|j^\mu(0,0)|q,s;q',s'\rangle \tag{2.89}$$

where

$$E(q,q') = \frac{2\pi}{L}(q+q')\,, \qquad p_{s,s'}(q,q') = \frac{2\pi}{L}\left(sq + s'q'\right) \tag{2.90}$$

is the energy and momentum respectively of the two-particle state (2.87). Because the two chiralities do not mix in the massless fermion limit, *cf.* (2.65)-(2.66), the only non-zero matrix elements of (2.89) involve

$$\langle 0|\psi_\pm^\dagger\psi_\pm(0)|q,s;q',s'\rangle = \frac{1}{L}\,\delta_{s,\pm}\,\delta_{s',\pm} \tag{2.91}$$

and therefore only states with the same helicity $s = s'$ will contribute to the intermediate state sum. In that case from (2.90) the matrix element (2.89) depends only upon $n = q + q'$. Then at fixed $n \geq 1$, the sum over $q = n - q'$ ranges from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $n - 1/2$. The sum over the complete set of two-fermion intermediate states (2.87) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|j^0(t,x)\,j^0(t',x')|0\rangle &= \langle 0|j^1(t,x)\,j^1(t',x')|0\rangle \\
&= \sum_{s,s'=\pm}\sum_{q,q'\geq\frac{1}{2}} \langle 0|j^0(t,x)|q,s;q',s'\rangle\langle q,s;q',s'\;|j^0(t',x')|0\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{s=\pm}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \exp[-ik_n(t-t')]\,\exp[isk_n(x-x')] \\
&= \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\,e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')} + e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.92}$$

since

$$\sum_{q=\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} 1 = n\,. \tag{2.93}$$

Likewise we obtain

$$\langle 0|j^0(t,x)\,j^1(t',x')|0\rangle = \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\,e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')} - e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right] \tag{2.94}$$

for the $\langle j^0 j^1 \rangle$ matrix element.

On the other hand, beginning with the single boson intermediate state (2.88) we find the matrix element

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|j^0(t,x)|n,s\rangle &= -\frac{i}{2\pi}\,\frac{k_n}{\sqrt{n}}\,\exp\left[-i\,k_n(t-sx)\right]\,\langle 0|a_n^{(s)}a_n^{(s)\,\dagger}|0\rangle \\ &= -\frac{i\sqrt{n}}{L}\,\exp\left[-i\,k_n(t-sx)\right] \qquad (2.95a)\\ \langle 0|j^1(t,x)|n,s\rangle &= -\frac{is}{2\pi}\,\frac{k_n}{\sqrt{n}}\,\exp\left[-i\,k_n(t-sx)\right]\,\langle 0|a_n^{(s)}a_n^{(s)\,\dagger}|0\rangle \\ &= -\frac{is\sqrt{n}}{L}\,\exp\left[-i\,k_n(t-sx)\right] \qquad (2.95b)\end{aligned}$$

by (2.76), (2.77), and (2.82). Hence the sum over single boson intermediate states is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|j^0(t,x)j^0(t',x')|0\rangle = \langle 0|j^1(t,x)j^1(t',x')|0\rangle &= \sum_{s=\pm}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\langle 0|j^0(t,x)|n,s\rangle\langle n,s|j^0(t',x')|0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{s=\pm}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\,\exp[-ik_n(t-t')]\,\exp[isk_n(x-x')] \\ &= \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\,e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')}+e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right] \qquad (2.96)\end{aligned}$$

and likewise

$$\langle 0|j^0(t,x)j^1(t',x')|0\rangle = \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\,e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')}-e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right] \qquad (2.97)$$

which coincide with the results obtained with the arbitrary two-fermion states inserted, (2.92) and (2.94) respectively. Naturally, the same result is obtained if we use the explicit fermion pair representation of the single boson state (2.88) defined by (2.71) and (2.75), if again the sum (2.93) is used.

By either method the results for the current-current correlator may be summarized as

$$\langle 0|j^\mu(t,x)j^\nu(t',x')|0\rangle = -\frac{iN}{\pi}\,\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}\,\Box - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu\right)G_0^{>}(t-t',x-x') \qquad (2.98)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}G_0^{>}(t-t',x-x') &= i\left\langle \left(\phi_+-\phi_-\right)_{t,x}\left(\phi_+-\phi_-\right)_{t',x'}\right\rangle_{\text{nonzero}} \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\,e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')}+e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right] \qquad (2.99)\end{aligned}$$

is the Wightman function of the canonically normalized massless pseudoscalar field $\phi_+ - \phi_-$ defined in the previous section in the periodic interval $[0, L]$, with the zero mode removed, since it does not contribute to (2.98). For N identical species of fermions we have multiplied by N to obtain (2.98). The commutator and Schwinger term in the continuum limit is as before,

cf. (2.52). If the time-ordered product of currents is considered instead, the Feynman Green's function

$$G_0(t-t',x-x') = \theta(t-t')G_0^>(t-t',x-x') + \theta(t'-t)G_0^>(t'-t,x-x') \tag{2.100}$$

for the free massless boson is obtained, whose Fourier transform is $1/k^2$ in the continuum limit. This shows the complete equivalence between the two-fermion massless intermediate states contributing to the vacuum polarization $\Pi^{\mu\nu} = i\langle \mathcal{T} j^\mu(x) j^\nu(x')\rangle$ loop diagram (2.41), and the fermion paired single boson intermediate states contributing to the corresponding tree diagram in Fig. 1.

3 Fermion Pairing and Scalar Boson of the Conformal Anomaly

3.1 Covariant Path Integral and Effective Action in Curved Spacetime

In addition to chiral symmetry the action S_f of (2.1) for massless fermions also has an apparent conformal symmetry. To make this explicit it is useful to generalize the fermionic action to curved spacetime with general spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. This is the external field taking the place of the gauge field in this case. With the usual minimal coupling to the local zweibein frame field $e^a{}_\mu(x)$ the fermion action in curved spacetime reads

$$S_f[\psi,\overline{\psi};g,A] = -\sum_{j=1}^N \int d^2x\, [\det e^a{}_\mu]\; \overline{\psi}_j(-i\gamma^a E^\mu{}_a \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\nabla}_\mu + m)\psi_j \tag{3.1}$$

where $E^\mu{}_a(x) \equiv \eta_{ab}\, g^{\mu\nu}(x) e^b{}_\nu(x)$ is the inverse of $e^a{}_\mu(x)$, and

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\nabla}_\mu \equiv \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu + \omega_\mu - iA_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\big(\overset{\rightarrow}{\partial}_\mu - \overset{\leftarrow}{\partial}_\mu\big) + \omega_\mu - iA_\mu \tag{3.2}$$

is the double edged covariant derivative. This is defined in terms of the curved spacetime spin connection

$$\omega_{ab\,\mu} = E^\nu{}_{[a}\eta_{b]c}\,\nabla_\mu e^c{}_\nu \qquad \text{by} \qquad \omega_\mu = \tfrac{1}{2}\Sigma^{ab}\omega_{ab\,\mu} = \tfrac{1}{2}\Sigma^{ab}E^\nu{}_a\eta_{bc}\,\nabla_\mu e^c{}_\nu \tag{3.3}$$

in the absence of torsion, where $\Sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^a,\gamma^b]$ and anti-symmetrization of any two tensor indices is defined by $t_{[ab]} \equiv (t_{ab} - t_{ba})/2$. We have included a fermion mass m in (3.1), although we are primarily interested in massless fermions $m = 0$. The zweibein and its inverse satisfy

$$e^a{}_\mu(x)\, e^b{}_\nu(x)\, \eta_{ab} = g_{\mu\nu}(x)\,, \qquad e^a{}_\mu(x)\, E^\mu{}_b(x) = \delta^a{}_b\,, \qquad \det e^a{}_\mu = \sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-\det g_{\mu\nu}} \tag{3.4}$$

where the Greek curved spacetime indices must now be distinguished from the tangent space Latin indices, and the tangent space Dirac matrices γ^a may be taken to be the same as those in flat spacetime of the previous section, Eq. (2.3), with this replacement of spacetime indices $\mu,\nu,\ldots$ by tangent space indices $a,b,\ldots$

The variation of (3.1) with respect to the zweibein produces a stress tensor with both symmetric and anti-symmetric terms. The anti-symmetric term is proportional to the divergence of spin density of the fermions which couples to torsion in the Cartan approach to gravity. Since

in this paper we do not consider torsion, we restrict ourselves to the symmetrized $T_f^{\mu\nu}$. This symmetrized fermion stress-energy tensor is given by

$$T_f^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\eta^{ab}E^\mu_{\ b}}{[\det e^c_{\ \rho}]}\frac{\delta S_f}{\delta e^a_{\ \nu}}\bigg|_{\rm sym.} = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(-i\overline{\psi}_j\gamma^{(\mu}\overleftrightarrow{\nabla}^{\nu)}\psi_j - g^{\mu\nu}\overline{\psi}_j(-i\gamma^\lambda\overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\lambda + m)\psi_j\right) \tag{3.5}$$

which is classically both covariantly conserved

$$\nabla_\mu T_f^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

and traceless $g_{\mu\nu}T_f^{\mu\nu} = T^\mu_{\ \mu\, f} = 0$ for $m = 0$, by use of the eqs. of motion. These express the invariance of S_f under both general coordinate transformations and conformal transformations

$$\psi \to e^{-\sigma/2}\psi\,, \qquad E_a^{\ \mu} \to e^{-\sigma}E_a^{\ \mu}\,, \qquad g_{\mu\nu} \to e^{2\sigma}g_{\mu\nu}\,, \qquad \det e^a_{\ \mu} \to e^{2\sigma}\det e^a_{\ \mu} \tag{3.7}$$

in the massless case [39].

Just as in the case of vector and chiral invariance for $m = 0$ in flat spacetime, both of these classical invariances cannot be maintained at the quantum level and at least one must be abandoned. The Equivalence Principle requires (3.6) for consistent coupling to gravity, with the result that once enforced at the quantum level, one finds that conformal invariance must be violated. In a general curved spacetime background the conformal anomaly is [2–4]

$$\left\langle T^\mu_{\ \mu}\right\rangle_f = \frac{N}{24\pi}R \tag{3.8}$$

in terms of the Ricci curvature scalar R. Since

$$\delta S_f = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\; T_f^{\mu\nu}\,\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}\,T^\mu_{\ \mu\, f}\,\delta\sigma \tag{3.9}$$

under a conformal transformation, and

$$\sqrt{-g}\,R = \sqrt{-\bar{g}}\,\bar{R} - 2\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\,\overline{\Box}\sigma \tag{3.10}$$

is linear in σ when the metric is parameterized in the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\sigma}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.11}$$

with $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is a fixed fiducial metric, the anomaly eq. (3.8) gives

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\rm eff}}{\delta\sigma} = \sqrt{-g}\,T^\mu_{\ \mu\, f} = \frac{N}{24\pi}\left(\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\,\bar{R} - 2\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\,\overline{\Box}\sigma\right) \tag{3.12}$$

which is linear in σ, and thus may be integrated directly in a manner analogous to (2.14)-(2.22), to obtain the quantum effective action quadratic in σ,

$$\Gamma_{\rm eff}[\sigma;\bar{g}] = \frac{N}{24\pi}\int d^2x\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\left(-\sigma\,\overline{\Box}\sigma + \sigma\bar{R}\right)\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Then, solving (3.10) for σ and using the conformal invariant property of the wave operator in two dimensions, $\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\,\overline{\Box} = \sqrt{-g}\,\Box$, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{\rm eff}[\sigma;\bar{g}] = S_{\rm anom}[g] - S_{\rm anom}[\bar{g}] \tag{3.14}$$

in terms of the non-local but fully covariant effective action [25, 49]

$$S_{\rm anom}[g] = -\frac{N}{96\pi}\int {\rm d}^2x\sqrt{-g}\int {\rm d}^2x'\sqrt{-g'}R(x)(\Box^{-1})_{xx'}R(x')\,. \tag{3.15}$$

Since all two dimensional metrics are locally conformally flat, the fiducial metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ may be taken to be flat, $\bar{R} = 0$, and its action $S_{\rm anom}[\bar{g}]$, viewed as an integration constant of the variation (3.12), may be set to zero (up to possible contributions from the non-trivial topology of $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$). Thus the effect of integrating out the massless fermions is [50]

$$Z_f^{(N)}[g] = \int\prod_{i=1}^{N}[\mathcal{D}\psi_i][\mathcal{D}\overline{\psi}_i]\exp\{iS_f[\psi,\overline{\psi};g,A=0]\} = [\det{}_f(-i\partial\!\!\!/)]^N\,\exp\{iS_{\rm anom}[g]\} \tag{3.16}$$

in a general background metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, with the background gauge potential A_μ set to zero.

The similarity between the axial and conformal anomalies and their effective actions, (2.21) and (3.15) is striking. The appearance of the massless scalar propagator $(\Box^{-1})_{xx'}$ again suggests an effective massless boson field is associated with this anomaly. Indeed in a similar manner to the introduction of the pseudoscalar boson field χ in the axial anomaly case, one may introduce a scalar boson field φ, with the local effective action

$$S_{\rm anom}[\varphi;g] = \frac{N}{48\pi}\int {\rm d}^2x\sqrt{-g}\,\big(\tfrac{1}{2}\varphi\Box\varphi + R\varphi\big) \tag{3.17}$$

so that φ satisfies the eq. of motion

$$-\,\Box\varphi = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}\,g^{\mu\nu}\,\partial_\nu\varphi\right) = R \tag{3.18}$$

and has the massless propagator

$$\int d^2x\,e^{ik\cdot x}\,i\langle\mathcal{T}\varphi(x)\varphi(0)\rangle = \frac{48\pi}{N}\,\frac{1}{k^2}\,. \tag{3.19}$$

Integrating out the φ boson field returns the action (3.15), allowing us to write

$$Z_f^{(N)}[g] = [\det{}_f(-i\partial\!\!\!/)]^N[\det{}_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\int[\mathcal{D}\varphi]\,\exp\left\{iS_{\rm anom}[\varphi;g]\right\}\,. \tag{3.20}$$

This form of the generating functional with (3.17) is quite analogous to the local form (2.23) with (2.24). Note also that as the chiral anomaly term $\widetilde{F}$ is a topological density, so too is the conformal anomaly term R, the spacetime integral of which is a topological invariant, proportional to the Euler characteristic of a manifold with Euclidean signature. Thus the Einstein action in two dimensions is a topological invariant, analogous to the $\theta\widetilde{F}$ term in the Schwinger model, and the φ field remains massless unless a term proportional to R^2, analogous to the $\widetilde{F}^2/2 = -F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}/4$ Maxwell term, is added to the gravitational action. As in the chiral case the conformal anomaly $\sqrt{-g}R$ is a topological density and a total derivative,

$$\sqrt{-g}R = \partial_\mu\big(\sqrt{-g}\,\Omega^\mu\big) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \Omega^\mu = 2E^\mu_{\ a}E^\nu_{\ b}\,\omega^{ab}_{\ \ \nu} = 2g^{\lambda[\mu}E^{\nu]}_{\ c}\,\nabla_\nu e^c_{\ \lambda} \tag{3.21}$$

in $d = 2$. The topological nature of $\sqrt{-g}R$ as the Euler density leads to invariance of the scalar action (3.17) under constant shifts $\varphi \to \varphi + \varphi_0$ with [49]

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\nabla^\mu\varphi + \Omega^\mu\right) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\varphi + \Omega^\mu\right) \tag{3.22}$$

the corresponding gauge (*i.e.* frame) dependent Noether current, which is analogous to (2.29). It is covariantly conserved $\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0$ by virtue of (3.18) and (3.21).

We note next an important difference between the cases of the axial and conformal anomalies. Whereas in (2.23) all the dependence on the background A_μ is contained in $S_{\rm anom}[\chi; A]$ given by the local action (2.24), in (3.20) the boson determinant $\det_B(-\Box)$ still contains dependence upon the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ through the Laplace-Beltrami wave operator in (3.18). This is a reflection of the fact that whereas in the axial case, the effective boson field χ is neutral, and does not itself carry either a vector or axial charge, the φ field has an energy-momentum in the gravitational field, given explicitly by

$$T_B^{\mu\nu}[\varphi; g] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{\rm anom}[\varphi; g]}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{N}{24\pi} \left(\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \varphi - g^{\mu\nu} \Box \varphi + \tfrac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu \varphi \nabla^\nu \varphi - \tfrac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\lambda \varphi \nabla^\lambda \varphi \right) \quad (3.23)$$

with a non-linear coupling to the metric. Note also that unlike the currents (2.26) which depend only linearly on the boson field χ, the stress-energy current (3.23) contains quadratic terms in φ. As a result, if φ is treated as a *bone fide* quantum field in its own right, and functionally integrated over as in (3.20), it has its own conformal anomaly, which would effectively shift N in (3.8) to $N + 1$. This is taken account of in (3.20) by the fact that the boson determinant $\det_B(-\Box)$ also depends on the metric, and cancels the shift of N to $N + 1$, thereby restoring equality to the original fermion functional integral (3.16).

If $N > 1$ the correction of the bosonic determinants dependence upon $g_{\mu\nu}$ could be handled by replacing the full $\det_B(-\Box)$ by its flat spacetime counterpart, $\det_B(-\overline{\Box})$, while simultaneously replacing N by $N - 1$ in $S_{\rm anon}$. The conformal anomaly of (3.23) then shifts $N - 1$ back to N. Notice however, that the fermion and boson flat spacetime determinants only cancel when $N = 1$ due to (2.33), and if $N = 1$ the action for the φ field multiplied by $N - 1$ would vanish identically.

This difficulty can be avoided if we consider a scalar field $\mathbf{\Phi}$ which is defined by

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{48\pi}}\, \varphi \quad (3.24)$$

and hence is canonically normalized independently of N. In terms of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ the anomaly effective action (3.17) becomes

$$S_{\rm eff}[\mathbf{\Phi}; g] = \int {\rm d}^2x \sqrt{-g} \left(\tfrac{1}{2} \mathbf{\Phi} \Box \mathbf{\Phi} + \sqrt{\frac{N}{48\pi}}\, R\, \mathbf{\Phi} \right) . \quad (3.25)$$

If N is now shifted to $N - 1$ to compensate for the anomaly of the $\mathbf{\Phi}$ field itself, we obtain

$$Z_f^{(N)}[g] = [\det{}_f(-i\partial\!\!\!/)]^{N-1} \int [\mathcal{D}\mathbf{\Phi}] \, \exp\left\{ i \int {\rm d}^2x \sqrt{-g} \left(\tfrac{1}{2} \mathbf{\Phi} \Box \mathbf{\Phi} + \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{48\pi}}\, R\, \mathbf{\Phi} \right) \right\} \quad (3.26)$$

where we have used (2.33) for the flat spacetime determinants. Varying the exponential in (3.26) with respect to the metric gives the canonically normalized bosonic stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\mu \mathbf{\Phi} \nabla^\nu \mathbf{\Phi} - \tfrac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\lambda \mathbf{\Phi} \nabla^\lambda \mathbf{\Phi} + \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{12\pi}} \left(\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \mathbf{\Phi} - g^{\mu\nu} \Box \mathbf{\Phi} \right) \quad (3.27)$$

which should be identical to the fermion expression (3.5) at the operator level, after appropriate regularization.

Note that if $N = 1$, the linear $R\mathbf{\Phi}$ coupling in (3.26) vanishes entirely and we obtain simply

$$Z_f^{(N=1)}[g] = \int [\mathcal{D}\mathbf{\Phi}] \, \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^2x \sqrt{-g}\, \mathbf{\Phi} \Box \mathbf{\Phi} \right\} \tag{3.28}$$

completely re-expressing the original free fermionic functional integral (3.16) in terms of a free bosonic one for $N = 1$. The stress-energy tensor of the boson (3.27) now lacks a term linear in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ and is simply given by the stress-energy tensor of a single canonically normalized boson, which is nothing else than the stress-energy tensor of the massless boson of the usual $N = 1$ Schwinger model (with coupling $e = 0$). In the absence of any coupling to the gauge field the chiral anomaly vanishes and the same result for the stress-energy tensor is obtained if either the scalar or pseudoscalar linear combination of left and right movers in (2.81) is used.

The equality of the fermion and boson stress-energy tensors for $N = 1$ is somewhat non-trivial, since $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is composed of fermion bilinears and $T^{\mu\nu}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}$ apparently contains four-fermion operators. However, after normal ordering, these four-fermion terms can be shown to vanish identically due to Fermi-Dirac statistics, and equality of the remaining terms in the stress-energy tensors of one fermion and one boson was shown explicitly in [51]. The two stress-energy tensors clearly have the same conformal anomaly in curved spacetime because a single boson has the same anomaly as a single fermion in two dimensions. Thus in this approach nothing has been either gained or lost in replacing a single quantum fermion by a single quantum boson. If $N > 1$ treating the boson $\mathbf{\Phi}$ as a *bona fide* full canonical quantum field with a linear $\sqrt{(N-1)/48\pi}$ coupling to the scalar curvature should continue to work for all correlation functions involving the stress-energy tensor. However when $N > 1$ there are terms both linear and quadratic in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ in the stress tensor and it is no longer obvious how to identify the scalar boson with fermion pairs.

There is an alternate approach to handling the bosonization and stress-energy tensor correspondences which is in some ways closer in spirit to the chiral bosonization and purely linear relation of the currents (2.26) to the boson field. Since in that case the chiral boson χ carries no $U(1)$ vector or $U_A(1)$ axial charge, it does not contribute its own term to the axial anomaly when quantized, as φ does, accounted for by the dependence of the determinant $[\det_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ upon the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. However, if we simply drop the functional integral over the quantum field φ, then there is no need for the compensating boson determinant in (3.20), and no need to shift N. This amounts to neglecting all scalar boson loop diagrams, and treating φ instead as an effectively classical field satisfying (3.18) and contributing only to tree diagrams, in which case the axial and conformal cases are more similar. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_f^{(N)}[g] &= [\det{}_f(-i\partial\!\!\!/)]^N \exp\left\{ iS_{\mathrm{anom}}[\varphi; g] \right\}\Big|_{\Box\varphi = -R} \\ &= \text{const.} \times \exp\left\{ iS_{\mathrm{eff}}[\mathbf{\Phi}; g] \right\}\Big|_{\Box\mathbf{\Phi} = -\sqrt{\frac{N}{48\pi}}R} \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

with $\mathbf{\Phi}$ treated as an independent field, the variation of S_{eff} with respect to which leads to its eq. of motion $\Box\mathbf{\Phi} = -\sqrt{\frac{N}{48\pi}}R$. This approach may also be obtained from (3.26) in the large N approximation, in which the quantum effects of a single φ boson, and the prefactor $[\det_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are of order one, and hence suppressed by $1/N$ in comparison to $N \gg 1$ fermions. However, (3.29) is valid for *any* N, including $N = 1$, since the functional integral over $\mathbf{\Phi}$ and the $[\det_B(-\Box)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ prefactor in (3.26) precisely cancel each other. The analogous equivalence can be seen in the chiral case from Eqs. (2.21)-(2.22) by substituting the eq. of motion (2.25) for

the chiral boson field χ in (2.24). Since the effective tree action in the chiral case is exactly quadratic in A_μ, and the currents are purely linear in χ, this generates only the single tree graph of Fig. 1b. As we shall see, in the gravitational case (3.29) is closest to the chiral bosonization in the Schwinger model in that only the terms linear in the quantum $\mathbf{\Phi}$ field in the stress-energy tensor (3.23) need to be considered to identify the boson field (3.24) as composed of fermion pairs, analogous to the currents (2.26) linear in χ, but in addition, it leads to the remarkable result that the arbitrary variations of (3.29) with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ generate all one-loop correlation functions of quantum stress-energy tensors of the fermions by tree graphs of the scalar boson field (3.24).

3.2 Correlation Functions, Spectral Function and Sum Rule

We illustrate the second approach in terms of scalar tree graphs first with the simplest non-trivial correlation function of the one-loop stress-tensor polarization function of the fermions

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(k) \equiv \frac{i}{N}\int d^2x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\,\langle \mathcal{T}\, T_f^{\mu\nu}(x) T_f^{\alpha\beta}(0)\rangle \tag{3.30}$$

in flat spacetime illustrated in Fig. 3.



Figure 3: The one-loop fermion $\langle TT\rangle$ polarization.

In $d = 2$ dimensions the tensor structure of this polarization is completely determined by the conservation law (3.6) and Ward identities following from it. The result for massive fermions is

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(k)\big|_{d=2} = \frac{N}{4\pi}\,(\eta^{\mu\nu}k^2 - k^\mu k^\nu)(\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta)\int_0^1 dx\,\frac{x(1-x)(1-2x)^2}{[k^2x(1-x)+m^2]}\,. \tag{3.31}$$

Using once more the identity (2.44) and interchanging the s and x integrals we obtain

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(k)\big|_{d=2} = (\eta^{\mu\nu}k^2 - k^\mu k^\nu)(\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta)\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{\varrho_T(s)}{k^2+s} \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$\varrho_T(s) \equiv \frac{N}{4\pi}\int_0^1 dx\,(1-2x)^2\,\delta\left(s - \frac{m^2}{x(1-x)}\right) = \frac{N}{2\pi}\frac{m^2}{s^2}\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{s}}\,\theta(s-4m^2)\,. \tag{3.33}$$

As in the previous case of the current spectral function (2.46)-(2.47), the stress-tensor spectral function (3.33) obeys a UV finite sum rule, in this case

$$\int_0^\infty ds\,\varrho_T(s) = \frac{N}{4\pi}\int_0^1 dx\,(1-2x)^2 = \frac{N}{12\pi} \tag{3.34}$$

which is illustrated in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: The area under the curve $\varrho_T(s)$ (shown here for $N = 1$) obeys the sum rule (3.34), and in the limit $m \to 0$ becomes a δ-function according to (3.35).

In the massless case $m = 0$, the representation (3.33) shows that $\varrho_T(s)$ becomes a δ-function concentrated at $s = 0$,

$$\lim_{m\to 0} \varrho_T(s) = \frac{N}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dx\, (1-2x)^2\, \delta(s) = \frac{N}{12\pi}\, \delta(s) \tag{3.35}$$

corresponding to

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(k)\big|_{m=0,d=2} = (\eta^{\mu\nu}k^2 - k^\mu k^\nu)(\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta)\, \frac{N}{12\pi k^2} \tag{3.36}$$

which like (2.41) exhibits a massless scalar pole.

Hence as in the case of the axial anomaly, a correlated boson state appears in the correlation function of two fermions associated with the anomalous current. Both the anomaly and the bosonic excitation associated with it survive when the theory is deformed away from its conformal limit of $m = 0$. The boson is broadened into a resonance as in Fig. 4 obeying an ultraviolet finite sum rule (3.34) for any mass. The anomalous contribution of the correlation function is also easily separated from the non-anomalous contribution by writing the trace of (3.32) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{\mu\ \alpha\beta}_{\ \mu}(k)\big|_{d=2} &= k^2\, (\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta) \int_0^\infty ds\, \frac{\varrho_T(s)}{k^2+s} \\
&= (\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta)\, \left[\int_0^\infty ds\, \varrho_T(s) - \int_0^\infty ds\, \varrho_T(s) \frac{s}{k^2+s}\right] \\
&= \frac{N}{12\pi}\, (\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta) \left[1 - 6m^2 \int_{4m^2}^\infty \frac{ds}{s\,(k^2+s)} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}}\right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

The first term in this last expression, in which numerator and denominator cancel and the sum rule (3.34) is used, is the anomalous contribution independent of m, while the second term is

the finite non-anomalous contribution, expected even classically for the trace when $m > 0$, but which vanishes for $m = 0$.

The expectation value of the commutator of two fermion stress-energy tensors can be written as a weighted spectral integral

$$i\Big\langle \big[T_f^{\mu\nu}(t,x), T_f^{\alpha\beta}(t',x')\big]\Big\rangle = \frac{N}{12\pi}\big(\eta^{\mu\nu}\,\Box - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu\big)\big(\eta^{\alpha\beta}\,\Box - \partial^\alpha\partial^\beta\big)\int_0^\infty ds\,\varrho_T(s)\,D(t-t',x-x';s) \tag{3.38}$$

analogous to (2.49). Here and for the remainder of Sec. 3, $\Box$ will denote the flat Minkowski space wave operator. Using the properties of the commutator function D (2.50)-(2.51), only the terms with an odd number of time derivatives survive at equal times $t = t'$, so that from (3.32) and the sum rule (3.34) we obtain the anomalous commutator expectation values

$$\Big\langle \big[T_f^{00}(t,x), T_f^{01}(t,x')\big]\Big\rangle = \Big\langle \big[T_f^{11}(t,x), T_f^{01}(t,x')\big]\Big\rangle = \frac{iN}{12\pi}\,\partial_x^3\,\delta(x-x') \tag{3.39}$$

for any m, all other equal time commutators vanishing. This is the expectation value of the Schwinger term for the stress-energy tensor commutators in two dimensions [52–54], which is independent of fermion mass.

When the fermion mass vanishes, we now compare the result (3.36) and (3.38) with their counterparts in the bosonic theory. Because the boson stress-energy tensor (3.23) has terms both quadratic and linear in φ, there will be both a one-loop and tree level contribution to the $\langle TT\rangle$ bosonic correlation function. The loop contribution which is of order N^0 gives rise to the boson quantum anomaly contribution which has the effect of shifting N by one, as discussed in the previous Sec. 3.1. In order to avoid this shift and match the fermion loop to a boson tree diagram with a single fermion pair intermediate state, we neglect the φ loop, and compute only the tree level contribution from the terms linear in the φ stress-energy tensor, consistent with (3.29). For the correlation function (3.30) this amounts to considering only the term linear in the boson field, *i.e.*

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{\mathbf{\Phi}\,\mathrm{lin}} = \frac{N}{24\pi}\left(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu}\,\Box\right)\varphi = \sqrt{\frac{N}{12\pi}}\,\Big(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu}\,\Box\Big)\,\mathbf{\Phi} \tag{3.40}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}\,\mathrm{lin}}(k) &= i\int d^2x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\,\langle \mathcal{T} T_{B\,\mathrm{lin}}^{\mu\nu}(x) T_{B\,\mathrm{lin}}^{\alpha\beta}(0)\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{N}{12\pi}\right)\big(\eta^{\mu\nu}k^2 - k^\mu k^\nu\big)\big(\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta\big)\int d^2x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\, i\langle \mathcal{T}\mathbf{\Phi}(x)\mathbf{\Phi}(0)\rangle \\ &= (\eta^{\mu\nu}k^2 - k^\mu k^\nu)(\eta^{\alpha\beta}k^2 - k^\alpha k^\beta)\,\frac{N}{12\pi k^2}\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

after use is made of the canonical normalization of the $\mathbf{\Phi}$ field in (3.24). The result (3.41) coincides with (3.36). Likewise, if we compute the commutators appearing in (3.39) we obtain agreement at the operator level from the equal time commutator function of the massless scalar φ field, normalized according to (3.19), neglecting any anomalous commutator of the quadratic φ stress-energy tensor itself, according to (3.29).

3.3 Stress-Energy Tensor, Virasoro Algebra and Schwinger Term of Fermions

In order to determine the precise form of the fermion pairing into a boson related to the conformal anomaly in the operator representation, we return to the Fock space representation for the fermions introduced in Sec. 2.3, starting with a single fermion: $N = 1$. Using the Dirac equation (2.57), we find

$$T_f^{00} = T_f^{11} = \frac{i}{2}\left(\psi_+^\dagger \partial_t \psi_+ - \partial_t \psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ + \psi_-^\dagger \partial_t \psi_- - \partial_t \psi_-^\dagger \psi_-\right) \tag{3.42a}$$

$$T_f^{01} = T_f^{10} = \frac{i}{2}\left(\psi_+^\dagger \partial_t \psi_+ - \partial_t \psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ - \psi_-^\dagger \partial_t \psi_- + \partial_t \psi_-^\dagger \psi_-\right) \tag{3.42b}$$

for the (unregularized) fermion stress-energy tensor. Upon inserting the Fock space expansion (2.63), normal ordering, and using the regularization described in Sec. 3.4 to subtract the zero-point energy in the infinite domain $1/L \to 0$, leading to the finite Casimir energy density (3.49), we obtain (for $N = 1$)

$$T_f^{00} = T_f^{11} = \frac{2\pi}{L^2}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{L}_n^{(+)} e^{-ik_n t} e^{ik_n x} + \mathbf{L}_n^{(-)} e^{-ik_n t} e^{-ik_n x}\right) - \frac{\pi}{6L^2} \tag{3.43a}$$

$$T_f^{01} = T_f^{10} = \frac{2\pi}{L^2}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{L}_n^{(+)} e^{-ik_n t} e^{ik_n x} - \mathbf{L}_n^{(-)} e^{-ik_n t} e^{-ik_n x}\right) \tag{3.43b}$$

where the fermionic Virasoro generators are defined by [55]

$$\mathbf{L}_n^{(\pm)} = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right) :c_{q-n}^{(\pm)\dagger} c_q^{(\pm)}: = \sum_{q\geq\frac{1}{2}}\left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right) c_{q-n}^{(\pm)\dagger} c_q^{(\pm)} - \sum_{q\leq-\frac{1}{2}}\left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right) c_q^{(\pm)} c_{q-n}^{(\pm)\dagger} \tag{3.44}$$

for the left and right moving fermions respectively. Note that the Virasoro generators satisfy $\mathbf{L}_n^{(\pm)\dagger} = \mathbf{L}_{-n}^{(\pm)}$ and have zero vacuum expectation value $\langle 0|\mathbf{L}_n^{(\pm)}|0\rangle = 0$ due to normal ordering, which affects only $\mathbf{L}_0^{(\pm)}$.

The commutator of two Virasoro generators yields the Virasoro algebra, *cf.* Appendix B,

$$\left[\mathbf{L}_n^{(\pm)}, \mathbf{L}_{n'}^{(\pm)}\right] = (n - n')\mathbf{L}_{n+n'}^{(\pm)} + \frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}\,\delta_{n,-n'} \tag{3.45}$$

for the right and left moving fermions separately. As in the case of the current moments $\rho_n^{(\pm)}$, normal ordering with respect to the fermion vacuum and its filled Dirac sea leads to an anomalous commutator, the central term in the Virasoro algebra above. For N fermions we have

$$\mathbf{L}_{n,N}^{(\pm)} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{L}_n^{(\pm),j} = \sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right) :c_{q-n}^{(\pm),j\dagger} c_q^{(\pm),j}: \tag{3.46}$$

and hence

$$\left[\mathbf{L}_{n,N}^{(\pm)}, \mathbf{L}_{n',N}^{(\pm)}\right] = (n - n')\mathbf{L}_{n+n',N}^{(\pm)} + \frac{N}{12}\,n(n^2-1)\delta_{n,-n'} \tag{3.47}$$

which now features a factor N in the central extension. Converting this relation to position space and taking account of the finite shift of the Casimir term in (3.43a) gives the equal time

commutator

$$\begin{aligned}\left[T_f^{00}(t,x),T_f^{01}(t,x')\right] &= -i\left(T_f^{00}(t,x)+T_f^{00}(t,x')+\frac{\pi}{3L^2}\right)\partial_x\,\delta(x-x') \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{12\pi}\,\partial_x\left(\partial_x^2+\frac{4\pi^2}{L^2}\right)\delta(x-x') \\ &= -i\Big(T_f^{00}(t,x)+T_f^{00}(t,x')\Big)\partial_x\,\delta(x-x')+\frac{iN}{12\pi}\,\partial_x^3\,\delta(x-x')\,, \qquad (3.48)\end{aligned}$$

showing the relation to the Schwinger contact term. The sign of the Schwinger term here agrees with earlier work [52, 53] but apparently disagrees with [54].

3.4 Classical Scalar Condensate and Quantum Casimir Energy

In the axial case considered previously we remarked on the possibility of a classical condensate current scaling with N. However, with no sources for this current we were free to set it and the expectation value of χ to zero in the interval $[0, L]$. On the other hand in the gravitational case, in the finite interval $[0, L]$, N massless fermions with anti-periodic boundary conditions have a finite Casimir energy density [4]

$$\langle 0|T_{00\,f}|0\rangle_R = -\frac{\pi N}{6L^2} \qquad (3.49)$$

proportional to N. In the fermion representation this can be computed from the quantum stress-energy tensor of the fermions (3.5) by introducing a cutoff in the sum over modes and subtracting the cutoff dependent contribution in the infinite L limit, effectively setting the quantum zero point energy to be zero in that limit. For finite L this subtraction leaves behind the finite energy density (3.49) as the cutoff is removed [4]. It may also be computed by ζ-function methods, as follows by substituting the mode expansion (2.63) in (3.42a). We obtain the unrenormalized, infinite sum

$$\langle 0|T_{00\,f}|0\rangle = \langle 0|T_{11\,f}|0\rangle = -\frac{2N}{L}\sum_{q\geq\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{k}_q = -\frac{4\pi N}{L^2}\sum_{q\geq\frac{1}{2}} q\,. \qquad (3.50)$$

The generalized Riemann ζ function is defined by

$$\zeta_R(s,a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+a)^{-s}\,, \qquad \mathrm{Re}(s)>1 \qquad (3.51)$$

which defines a function which can be analytically continued to $s=-1$, so the sum over half-integers in (3.50) has a finite part which can be defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(n+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-s}\Big|_{s=-1} = \zeta_R\left(-1,\tfrac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\,B_2\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{6}\right) = \frac{1}{24} \qquad (3.52)$$

where $B_2(a) = a^2 - a + \frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial. Substituting (3.52) into (3.50) gives (3.49).

In the boson representation the Casimir energy (3.49) is a leading order in N effect, corresponding to a condensate $\bar{\varphi}$ and may be calculated from the stress-energy tensor of the boson field (3.23) by purely *classical* means. To find the correct classical condensate field $\bar{\varphi}$ for the periodically identified space on the finite interval $[0, L]$, we recognize first from (3.11), (3.13) and (3.17) that $e^{\varphi} = e^{2\sigma}$ may be thought of as the conformal factor that transforms a fixed fiducial

metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ of interest. Then we note that the infinite $\mathbb{R}^2$ plane may be mapped to the cylinder by the following conformal transformation. Introducing polar coordinates (r, θ) in infinite Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^2$ gives

$$d\bar{\tau}^2 + d\bar{x}^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 = r_0^2\, e^{2\eta}(d\eta^2 + d\theta^2) \tag{3.53}$$

where $\eta = \ln(r/r_0)$ ranges from $-\infty$ to ∞, and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, which describes a cylinder. Relabelling $\theta = 2\pi x/L$ and analytically continuing (3.53) to $\eta = 2\pi i t/L$, $\bar{\tau} = i\bar{t}$ allows us to write the line element for the real Lorentzian time cylinder as a conformal transformation of Lorentzian infinite flat spacetime by

$$-dt^2 + dx^2 = e^{\bar{\varphi}}\,(-d\bar{t}^2 + d\bar{x}^2) \tag{3.54}$$

with

$$\bar{\varphi} = -\frac{4i\pi t}{L} \tag{3.55}$$

after identifying $r_0 = L/2\pi$. Therefore, taking the fixed fiducial metric to be that of infinite Lorentzian flat spacetime to have vanishing energy density as before, the stress-energy tensor in the periodically identified domain $[0, L]$ may be computed by substituting the classical condensate $\bar{\varphi}$ of (3.55) into $T_B^{\mu\nu}[\bar{\varphi}]$ of (3.23) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{T}_{\mu\nu} \equiv T_{\mu\nu\,B}[\bar{\varphi}] &= \frac{N}{96\pi}\Big(2\,\partial_\mu\bar{\varphi}\,\partial_\nu\bar{\varphi} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\bar{\varphi}\,\partial_\beta\bar{\varphi}\Big) \\ &= -\frac{\pi N}{6L^2}\left(2\,\delta^0_{\ \mu}\,\delta^0_{\ \nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

which is a traceless stress-energy tensor, $\overline{T}^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = 0$ with $T_{00}[\bar{\varphi}] = \langle 0|T_{00\,f}|0\rangle$ of (3.49).

Thus, the quantum Casimir energy of the fermions may be computed from the stress-energy tensor of the scalar boson φ, viewed as a *classical condensate* with value (3.55) obtained by a conformal transformation from infinite flat spacetime. Being a linear function of t, the condensate (3.55) satisfies

$$\Box\bar{\varphi} = 0 \tag{3.57}$$

consistent with (3.18) in a spacetime with zero curvature, $R = 0$. If $L \to \infty$ for fixed t, $\bar{\varphi} \to 0$. For finite L periodicity, the linear growth of (3.55) without bound as $t \to \pm\infty$ is analogous to the linear time dependence of the winding modes (2.78) in the usual chiral bosonization of the Schwinger model in states for which the background charges $Q_\pm \neq 0$. Indeed, (3.55) should be viewed as a solution of (3.57) only in the distributional sense, with source 'charges' at $t = \pm\infty$.

That the non-trivial conformal transformation (3.54) does indeed give rise to the equivalent of the Chern-Simons number (2.86) but for the Euler characteristic, *viz.*

$$Q_{\rm CS} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int \Omega^\mu d\Sigma_\mu \tag{3.58}$$

follows by direct calculation from (3.21) in the general conformal frame $e^a_{\ \mu} = \exp(\sigma)\,\delta^a_{\ \mu}$ and $g^{\mu\nu} = \exp(-2\sigma)\,\eta^{\mu\nu}$, related to the flat fiducial metric of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then (3.21) gives

$$\Omega^\mu = -2\,g^{\mu\nu}\,\partial_\nu\sigma \tag{3.59}$$

and

$$Q_{\rm CS}\big|_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\bar{\sigma}\,d\Sigma_\mu = -\frac{i}{L}\int_0^L dx = -i \tag{3.60}$$

when evaluated for the conformal transformation $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\varphi}/2 = -2\pi i t/L$ in the (t, x) coordinates of the cylinder (3.54) on the periodic interval $x \in [0, L]$, for which $g^{00} = -1$ and $d\Sigma_0 = dx$.

In this case the Chern-Simons charge corresponding to the conformal anomaly is one-to-one with the holonomic windings of the zweibein frame field $e^a{}_\mu$ in the $SO(2) \simeq U(1)$ tangent space as x varies over the interval $[0, L]$. This is again the mapping $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ with homotopy group $\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \mathbb{Z}$. The imaginary unit charge (3.60) is the result of analytically continuing the definition of the conserved topological Euler number and its corresponding secondary Chern-Simons form defined on Riemannian manifolds to the pseudo-Riemannian signature metric (3.54) of Lorentzian spacetime. The physical interpretation of this background conformal charge is that the fermion vacuum defined on the anti-periodically identified finite interval $[0, L]$ may be regarded as being filled uniformly with a classical scalar condensate (3.55) of fermion pairs, whose density is determined by topology or global boundary conditions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$, with a finite negative energy density and pressure $\rho = p = -\pi N/6L^2$, equal to its quantum Casimir energy.

3.5 The Scalar Boson of the Conformal Anomaly: Canonical Field

In the case of the electric current algebra and axial anomaly, the anomalous Schwinger term is the only non-trivial commutator, and being a c-number, the algebra of the Fourier components of the current density (2.73) is easily mapped to the canonical algebra of a bosonic field by a simple rescaling with $\sqrt{n}$ through (2.75)-(2.76), which becomes $\sqrt{nN}$ for N fermions. In the case of the stress-energy tensor and conformal anomaly, the Virasoro algebra is non-abelian already at the classical level, and the stress-energy tensor (3.23) or (3.27) also contains terms quadratic in the scalar boson field φ or $\mathbf{\Phi}$. As already remarked, these quadratic terms in $T_B^{\mu\nu}$ or $T_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mu\nu}$ lead to the scalar boson field having its own conformal anomaly and shifting the coefficient of the central term by one unit. Note that the canonical bosonic representation (3.27) makes it clear that the fermion stress-energy tensor does not have a simple homogeneous scaling with N but rather is a sum of terms with different scalings. Finally the boson field has a classical imaginary expectation value or condensate $\bar{\varphi}$ of (3.55) to account for the Casimir energy in the finite interval $[0, L]$, so that a simple Hermitian boson field construction of the kind found in the charge current case also cannot be appropriate here.

These apparent roadblocks to a construction of a boson-fermion operator correspondence are related, and are all removed by identification of the boson field with that part of the fermion stress-energy tensor that scales with $\sqrt{N}$. We note that although from (3.46) one might expect the Virasoro generators $\mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_{n,N}$ to scale linearly with N, and indeed the c-number contribution in (3.43a) corresponding to the Casimir energy (3.49) and the condensate $\bar{\varphi}$ does scale linearly with N, the commutator in (3.47) quadratic in $\mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_{n,N}$ itself scales at most linearly with N. Thus the quantum operator part of the Virasoro generators that give rise to the central term in (3.47) scale only as $\sqrt{N}$, just as the currents do in (2.83), and it is this part that we can identify with the part of the boson stress-energy tensor (3.27) linear in the canonically normalized $\mathbf{\Phi}$ field that scales in the same way as $\sqrt{N}$.

In order to make this identification of terms in the fermion and boson stress-energy tensor that scale as $\sqrt{N}$, we need first to subtract the condensate part which scales linearly with N. Therefore let us first define the quantum field $\hat{\varphi}$ by the shift

$$\varphi = \bar{\varphi} + \hat{\varphi} \tag{3.61}$$

with $\bar{\varphi}$ the condensate (3.55), and substitute this into the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu\,B}$ for the

boson field (3.23) obtaining

$$T_{\mu\nu\,B}[\bar\varphi+\hat\varphi] = \overline{T}_{\mu\nu\,B} + \frac{N}{48\pi}\left(2\,\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\hat\varphi + 2\,\dot{\bar\varphi}\,\delta^0_{(\mu}\partial_{\nu)}\hat\varphi + \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\dot{\bar\varphi}\,\dot{\hat\varphi}\right) + \frac{N}{96\pi}\left(2\,\partial_\mu\hat\varphi\,\partial_\nu\hat\varphi - \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\partial_\lambda\hat\varphi\,\partial^\lambda\hat\varphi\right) \tag{3.62}$$

where we have used the fact that $\Box\bar\varphi = \Box\hat\varphi = 0$, and $\overline{T}_{\mu\nu\,B}$ is the condensate contribution (3.56). Thus at finite L in the presence of the scalar condensate $\bar\varphi$ the term linear in the quantum field $\hat\varphi$ becomes

$$T_{\mu\nu\,B\,\mathrm{lin}} = \frac{N}{48\pi}\left(2\,\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\hat\varphi + 2\,\dot{\bar\varphi}\,\delta^0_{(\mu}\partial_{\nu)}\hat\varphi + \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\dot{\bar\varphi}\,\dot{\hat\varphi}\right) \tag{3.63}$$

instead of (3.40).

If we introduce boson operators

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\pm(t,x) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi n}}\left(\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)}e^{-ik_n(t\mp x)} + \mathbf{a}_{-n}^{(\pm)}e^{ik_n(t\mp x)}\right) + \Phi^0_\pm(t,x)\,,\\ \Phi^0_\pm(t,x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\mathbf{R}_\pm + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{L}(t\mp x)\,\mathbf{Q}_\pm\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

analogous to those introduced previously in the chiral bosonization (2.77), and require the commutation relations

$$[\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)}, \mathbf{a}_{-n'}^{(\pm)}] = \mathrm{sgn}(n)\,\delta_{n,n'}\,, \qquad [\mathbf{R}_\pm, \mathbf{Q}_\pm] = i \tag{3.65}$$

then $\Phi_+ + \Phi_-$ is a canonically normalized scalar field independent of N. As we shall see shortly, it is important that we do not assume that $\Phi_\pm$ is Hermitian, so that unlike in the chiral case, $\mathbf{a}_{-n} \neq \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger$. Because of the normalization in the anomaly action (3.17), the quantum scalar field $\hat\varphi$ is related to the canonically normalized scalar field defined through (3.64) by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat\varphi &= \sqrt{\frac{48\pi}{N}}\left(\Phi_+ + \Phi_-\right)\\ &= \sqrt{\frac{12}{N}}\sum_\pm\left\{\sum_{n\neq0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|n|}}\,\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)}e^{-ik_n(t\mp x)} + \mathbf{R}_\pm + \frac{2\pi(t\mp x)}{L}\,\mathbf{Q}_\pm\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

Thus it is clear that the terms linear in $\hat\varphi$ in the anomaly boson energy-momentum tensor (3.62) are proportional to $N/\sqrt{N} = \sqrt{N}$ and dominate in the large N limit over the terms quadratic in $\hat\varphi$ in (3.62) which are of order $N/(\sqrt{N})^2 = 1$. The $\sqrt{N}$ leading order terms linear in $\hat\varphi$ in (3.62) give

$$\begin{aligned}T^{00}_{B,\mathrm{lin}} \pm T^{01}_{B,\mathrm{lin}} &= \frac{N}{24\pi}\left(\partial_t - \frac{2\pi i}{L}\right)\left(\partial_t \mp \partial_x\right)\hat\varphi\\ &= -\frac{4\pi}{L^2}\sqrt{\frac{N}{12}}\left\{\sum_{n\neq0}\frac{n(n+1)}{\sqrt{|n|}}\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)}e^{-ik_n(t\mp x)} + i\mathbf{Q}_\pm\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

after substituting for $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\varphi}$ from (3.55) and (3.66) respectively. Comparing (3.67) to the corresponding fermion terms from (3.43) we find that

$$\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)} = -\frac{\sqrt{12\,|n|}}{n(n+1)}\,\frac{\mathbf{L}_{n,N}^{(\pm)}}{\sqrt{N}} \qquad \forall\; n \neq 0, -1 \tag{3.68}$$

to leading order in $N \gg 1$. In this limit the shift of N by one unit and the quantum contributions of the quadratic terms in the $\mathbf{\Phi}$ stress-energy tensor (3.27) can be neglected, corresponding at the operator level to the neglect of the non-abelian terms in the Virasoro algebra. Indeed since $\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)}$ are canonically normalized independent of N, under the identification (3.68), $\mathbf{L}_{n,N}^{(\pm)}$ scales as $\sqrt{N}$ and the classical non-abelian terms in (3.47) are suppressed relative to the central term scaling linearly in N. Thus in this limit the canonically normalized boson field Fock space operators are given by the Virasoro generator moments of the fermion stress-energy tensor, in analogy with the corresponding identification of the chiral boson with the moments of the electromagnetic current densities for N fermions in (2.84).

Following the discussion at the end of Sec. 3.1, the relation (3.68) can also be regarded as an exact relation identifying the canonical boson field operator for any N, provided that all Wick contractions of the $\mathbf{\Phi}$ field leading to loop diagrams are neglected. This follows from the fact that the effective loop expansion parameter is $\hbar/N$ and neglecting loops in the boson tree effective action S_{eff} of (3.29) corresponds precisely to neglecting the non-anomalous commutator of the Virasoro generators (3.47).

The $n = 0, -1$ modes are not defined by (3.68). Together with the $n = +1$ Virasoro generator, the $\mathbf{L}_{n,N}^{(\pm)}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1$ form an $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ global sub-algebra with vanishing central term in (3.47). Hence it clearly is not possible to identify these generators with boson particle modes. They are instead analogous to the collective coordinate or coherent $n = 0$ total charge mode in the chiral bosonization of Sec. 2.3, and must hence be treated separately. Indeed these modes are related to the condensate $\bar{\varphi}$, since changing its sign $\bar{\varphi} \to \bar{\varphi}^* = -\bar{\varphi}$, which gives the same condensate energy density has the effect of shifting the subtlety from the $n = -1$ mode to the $n = +1$ mode.

An $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ transformation on the $n = \pm 1$ Fock space bosonic operators takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{a}_{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}'_1 \\ \mathbf{a}'_{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad AD - BC = 1 \tag{3.69}$$

and preserves the canonical commutation relation (3.65). The parameters A, B, C, D satisfying $AD - BC = 1$ can always be chosen to depend on n in such a way that the limit

$$\lim_{n\to -1} \{(1+n)\mathbf{a}_n\} = \lim_{n\to 1} \{(1-n)\mathbf{a}_{-n}\} = \lim_{n\to 1} \big\{(1-n)(C\mathbf{a}'_n + D\mathbf{a}'_{-n})\big\} \tag{3.70}$$

is finite, *e.g.*

$$A = B + \frac{(1-n)}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{(1-n)}{\alpha}\,, \qquad\qquad C = D = \frac{\alpha}{1-n} \tag{3.71}$$

for any α, β remaining finite as $n \to 1$. Then (3.68) gives

$$\lim_{n\to -1} \Big\{(1+n)\,\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)}\Big\} = \alpha^{(\pm)}\,(\mathbf{a}_1^{(\pm)\prime} + \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{(\pm)\prime}) = -\sqrt{\frac{12}{N}}\,\mathbf{L}_{-1,N}^{(\pm)} \tag{3.72a}$$

$$\lim_{n\to 1} \Big\{(1+n)\,\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)}\Big\} = \beta^{(\pm)}\,(\mathbf{a}_1^{(\pm)\prime} + \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{(\pm)\prime}) = -\sqrt{\frac{12}{N}}\,\mathbf{L}_{1,N}^{(\pm)} \tag{3.72b}$$

to leading order in large N. These expressions remain finite in the $n \to \mp 1$ limits respectively provided the $\mathbf{a}'_{\pm 1}$ are finite. Since by this (singular) $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ transformation $\mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_{-1,N}$ becomes proportional to $\mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_{1,N}$, they commute with each other.

The $n = 0$ constant mode of the boson is identified with $\mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_{0,N}$ according to (3.43) and (3.67)

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\pm} = i\sqrt{\frac{12}{N}}\,\mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_0 \tag{3.73}$$

to leading order in large N. Since the Virasoro generators are Hermitian this implies that the $\mathbf{Q}_{\pm}$ are anti-Hermitian. Note also that with the identification (3.68)

$$\mathbf{a}^{(\pm)\dagger}_n = \frac{n-1}{n+1}\,\mathbf{a}^{(\pm)}_{-n} \tag{3.74}$$

the non-zero modes of scalar boson field $\hat{\varphi}$ also are not Hermitian, due to the finite imaginary shift of the condensate $\bar{\varphi}$. In the continuum limit $L \to \infty$ where the condensate $\bar{\varphi} \to 0$, equivalent to $|n| \gg 1$ in (3.74), the quantum field $\hat{\varphi}$ becomes Hermitian.

As with the $n \neq 0, \pm 1$ modes, the identifications (3.72) and (3.73) may be regarded as exact for any finite N, provided the loops of the quantum $\mathbf{\Phi}$ field are neglected with respect to tree diagrams, corresponding to neglect of all the mutual commutators of $\mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_0, \mathbf{L}^{(\pm)}_{\pm 1,N}$.

3.6 Intermediate Pair States of $\langle TT\rangle$

We have seen in Sec. 3.2 that the one-loop $\langle TT\rangle$-correlator of fermionic quantum fields is given exactly by the tree graph of stress-energy tensors in terms of the scalar φ in the local form of the Polyakov action corresponding to the conformal anomaly (3.17). Thus we expect that the intermediate states of fermion bilinear pairs described by φ will reproduce the fermion intermediate states of the $\langle TT\rangle$-correlator, similarly to the situation in Sec. 2.4.

Considering first the case of $N = 1$, and inserting once more a complete set of the general on-shell two-fermion states (2.87), this time into the polarization (3.36), requires the evaluation of

$$\langle 0|T^{\mu\nu}_f(t,x)|q,s;q',s'\rangle = \exp\left[-i\,t\,E(q,q') + i\,x\,p_{s,s'}(q,q')\right]\,\langle 0|T^{\mu\nu}_f(0,0)|q,s;q',s'\rangle \tag{3.75}$$

where energy and momentum are given in (2.90). Since the two chiralities do not mix for massless fermions, we only have contributions for $s = s'$, *i.e.*

$$\langle 0|T^{00}_f(0,0)|q,s;q',s'\rangle = \langle 0|T^{11}_f(0,0)|q,s;q',s'\rangle = \frac{1}{2L}(\tilde{k}_q - \tilde{k}_{q'})\,\delta_{ss'} \tag{3.76a}$$

$$\langle 0|T^{01}_f(0,0)|q,s;q',s'\rangle = \langle 0|T^{10}_f(0,0)|q,s;q',s'\rangle = \frac{s}{2L}(\tilde{k}_q - \tilde{k}_{q'})\,\delta_{ss'}\,. \tag{3.76b}$$

Thus, defining as before $n = q + q' \geq 1$, so that

$$\tilde{k}_q - \tilde{k}_{q'} = \frac{4\pi}{L}\left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right) \tag{3.77}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|T_f^{00}(t,x)\,T_f^{00}(t',x')|0\rangle &= \sum_{s,s'=\pm}\sum_{q_s,q'_{s'}\geq\frac12}\langle 0|T_f^{00}(t,x)|q,s;q',s'\rangle\langle q,s;q',s'\;|T_f^{00}(t',x')|0\rangle \\
&= \left(\frac{2\pi}{L^2}\right)^2\sum_{s=\pm}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=\frac12}^{n-\frac12}\left(q-\frac{n}{2}\right)^2\exp[-ik_n(t-t')]\,\exp[isk_n(x-x')]+\left(\frac{\pi}{6L^2}\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{4\pi^2}{L^4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')}+e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right]+\left(\frac{\pi}{6L^2}\right)^2 \\
&= \langle 0|T_f^{11}(t,x)\,T_f^{11}(t',x')|0\rangle = \langle 0|T_f^{01}(t,x)\,T_f^{01}(t',x')|0\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

where we have used

$$\sum_{q=\frac12}^{n-\frac12}\left(q-\frac{n}{2}\right)^2=\frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}\,. \tag{3.79}$$

The constant shift is due to the (square of the) Casimir energy (3.49). Likewise we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|T_f^{00}(t,x)T_f^{01}(t',x')|0\rangle &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{L^2}\right)^2\sum_{s=\pm}s\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=\frac12}^{n-\frac12}\left(q-\frac{n}{2}\right)^2\exp[-ik_n(t-t')]\,\exp[isk_n(x-x')] \\
&= \frac{4\pi^2}{L^4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')}-e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.80}$$

for the mixed $\langle T^{00}T^{01}\rangle = \langle T^{11}T^{01}\rangle$ matrix element with a complete set of arbitrary two-fermion states inserted.

On the other hand from the bosonic viewpoint the only intermediate states which should contribute to these matrix elements are the single boson states

$$|n,\pm\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}\mathbf{a}_n^{(\pm)\dagger}|0\rangle=-\frac{\sqrt{12}}{n(n^2-1)}\;\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}_{\frac12}}\left(q+\frac{n}{2}\right):c_{q+n}^{(s),j\dagger}c_q^{(s),j}:\,|0\rangle\qquad\forall\,n>1 \tag{3.81}$$

consisting of the coherent fermion pair described by (3.44) and (3.68). The normalization factor is required due to (3.74) so that $\langle n,\pm|n,\pm\rangle=1$ is properly normalized. The only term needed in the tree diagram is the term linear in the quantum field $\hat\varphi$ given by (3.63), for which

$$T_{B\,,\mathrm{lin}}^{00}=T_{B\,,\mathrm{lin}}^{11}=\frac{N}{48\pi}(2\,\ddot{\hat\varphi}+\dot{\hat\varphi}\,\dot{\hat\varphi})=\frac{N}{24\pi}\left(\partial_t-\frac{2\pi i}{L}\right)\partial_t\hat\varphi\,, \tag{3.82a}$$

$$T_{B\,,\mathrm{lin}}^{01}=-\frac{N}{48\pi}(2\,\partial_t\partial_x\hat\varphi+\dot{\hat\varphi}\,\partial_x\hat\varphi)=-\frac{N}{24\pi}\left(\partial_t-\frac{2\pi i}{L}\right)\partial_x\hat\varphi\,. \tag{3.82b}$$

Substituting then (3.66) we obtain

$$\langle 0|T^{00}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)|n,s\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{N}{12n}}\left(-ik_n - \frac{2\pi i}{L}\right)(-ik_n)\,e^{-ik_n(t-sx)}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}$$
$$= -\frac{2\pi}{L^2}\sqrt{\frac{N}{12}}\sqrt{n(n^2-1)}\,e^{-ik_n(t-sx)}\,, \tag{3.83a}$$

$$\langle 0|T^{01}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)|n,s\rangle = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{N}{12n}}\left(-ik_n - \frac{2\pi i}{L}\right)(isk_n)e^{-ik_n(t-sx)}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}$$
$$= -\frac{2\pi s}{L^2}\sqrt{\frac{N}{12}}\sqrt{n(n^2-1)}\,e^{-ik_n(t-sx)}\,. \tag{3.83b}$$

Thus from the linear terms in the boson stress-energy tensor we find the matrix elements and intermediate state sum

$$\langle 0|T^{00}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)\,T^{00}_{B,\rm lin}(t',x')|0\rangle = \sum_{s=\pm}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\langle 0|T^{00}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)|n,s\rangle\langle n,s|T^{00}_{B,\rm lin}(t',x')|0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{4\pi^2 N}{L^4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')}+e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right] + \left(\frac{\pi N}{6L^2}\right)^2$$
$$= \langle 0|T^{11}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)\,T^{11}_{B,\rm lin}(t',x')|0\rangle = \langle 0|T^{01}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)\,T^{01}_{B,\rm lin}(t',x')|0\rangle \tag{3.84}$$

while

$$\langle 0|T^{00}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)T^{01}_{B,\rm lin}(t',x')|0\rangle = \sum_{s=\pm}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\langle 0|T^{00}_{B,\rm lin}(t,x)|n,s\rangle\langle n,s|T^{01}_{B,\rm lin}(t',x')|0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{4\pi^2 N}{L^4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}e^{-ik_n(t-t')}\left[e^{ik_n(x-x')}-e^{-ik_n(x-x')}\right] \tag{3.85}$$

which coincide with the two-fermion intermediate state results of (3.78) and (3.80) respectively.

Thus the results for the $\langle TT\rangle$ matrix elements of summing over a complete set of two-fermion states (2.87) in the fermion loop is identical to that obtained by saturating the intermediate state sum by the particular fermion pair state represented by the coherent state boson (3.81) and (3.68) with only the linear term in the boson stress-energy tensor (3.63) in a tree amplitude. This is exactly analogous to the chiral boson coherent intermediate state in the $\langle jj\rangle$ correlator of Sec. 2.4, computed in the tree amplitude of Fig. 1.

By either method the results for the stress-energy tensor correlator may be written in terms of the free scalar function $G_0^>$ of (2.99) in the form

$$\langle 0|T^{00}(t,x)\,T^{00}(t',x')|0\rangle = \frac{-iN}{12\pi}\left(\partial_x^4 + \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2}\partial_x^2\right)G_0^>(t-t',x-x') \tag{3.86a}$$

$$\langle 0|T^{00}(t,x)\,T^{01}(t',x')|0\rangle = \frac{iN}{12\pi}\left(\partial_t\partial_x^3 + \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2}\partial_t\partial_x\right)G_0^>(t-t',x-x') \tag{3.86b}$$

so that the only equal time commutator which is non-zero is

$$\langle 0|\left[T^{00}(t,x)\,T^{01}(t,x')\right]|0\rangle = \frac{iN}{12\pi}\left(\partial_x^3 + \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2}\partial_x\right)\left(\frac{1}{L}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{ik_n(x-x')}\right)$$
$$= \frac{iN}{12\pi}\left(\partial_x^3 + \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2}\partial_x\right)\delta(x-x')\,, \tag{3.87}$$

the $n = 0$ constant mode vanishing under the derivatives. This is in agreement with the Schwinger term computed in (3.48).

In the limit of infinite L we may also write the results (3.86) in the covariant form

$$i\langle 0|T_{\mu\nu}(t,x)\,T_{\alpha\beta}(t',x')|0\rangle \to \frac{N}{12\pi}\Big(\eta_{\mu\nu}\,\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Big)\,(\eta_{\alpha\beta}\,\Box - \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta)\,G_0^>(t-t',x-x') \tag{3.88}$$

in agreement with (3.36), when time-ordering is taken into account and the Wightman function $G_0^>$ is replaced by the Feynman massless boson propagator (2.100) whose Fourier transform is $1/k^2$.

Since the time-ordered Feynman propagator is the analytic continuation of the Euclidean propagator, we can express the Euclidean stress-energy tensor correlation function in the form

$$\big\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,T^{\alpha\beta}(y)\big\rangle_E = \frac{N}{12\pi}\Big(\delta^{\mu\nu}\partial^2 - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu\Big)\,\Big(\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial^2 - \partial^\alpha\partial^\beta\Big)\,G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(|x-y|)\Big|_{M\to 0} \tag{3.89}$$

where

$$G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(|x|) = \int \frac{d^2P}{(2\pi)^2}\,\frac{e^{iP\cdot x}}{P^2+M^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,K_0\big(M|x|\big) \to -\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\ln\big(M|x|\big) + \text{const.} \tag{3.90}$$

is the Euclidean propagator for a massive scalar field in $d = 2$ dimensions, satisfying

$$(-\partial^2 + M^2)\,G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(|x|) \to -\partial^2\,G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(|x|) = \delta^{(2)}(x)\,. \tag{3.91}$$

Here x and y denote Euclidean 2-vectors with norm $|x| = \sqrt{x^\mu x^\mu}$, and $\partial^2 \equiv \delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu$ denotes the Laplacian. The mass M is inserted as an infrared regulator. In the limit $M \to 0$ the propagator (3.90) becomes a logarithm and the mass dependence drops out under the derivatives in (3.89) or (3.91), so that the limit to zero mass can be safely taken. Thus in Euclidean space the correlation function of two stress-energy tensors of massless fermions (or in fact any conformal field in $d = 2$) becomes

$$\big\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x)\,T_{\alpha\beta}(y)\big\rangle_E = -\frac{N}{24\pi^2}\,\Big(\delta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Big)\Big(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^2 - \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\Big)\,\ln\big(|x-y|\big) \tag{3.92}$$

These results show the complete equivalence between the fermion loop and the bosonic tree calculations of all components of the $\langle TT\rangle$ correlator, where only the linear term (3.63) of the boson stress-energy tensor is retained, after the finite shift in the finite spatial interval $[0, L]$. This correspondence between fermion loops and bosonic trees can be extended to arbitrary numbers of stress-energy tensor correlations in Euclidean space next.

4 Stress Tensor Correlators: Fermion Loops and Scalar Trees

In Sec. 3.2 we showed that the one-loop correlation function $\langle TT\rangle$ of N fermions can be represented by the simple two-point linear tree diagram illustrated in Fig. 3. The intermediate states of fermion pairs in the one-loop correlation function are exactly the correlated pairs of the single boson (3.81). In the chiral bosonization of Sec. 2.3 there are no connected diagrams of correlation functions with more than two currents, so that the mapping of fermion to boson intermediate states needs to be checked only in the case of $\langle jj\rangle$. For the stress tensor on the other hand, correlation functions of arbitrary numbers of stress-energy tensors appear, due to the essentially non-abelian, non-linear coupling of the metric to matter. The purpose of this section is to demonstrate that the correlation functions of arbitrary numbers of stress-energy tensors $\langle TT\ldots T\rangle$ of the fermions at one-loop order are mapped to scalar linear tree diagrams with exactly the same boson intermediate states as found for the two-point function in Sec. 3.6.

4.1 $\langle TT\dots T\rangle$ Correlators: Ward Identities

To establish this equivalence between fermion loops and scalar trees for arbitrary n-point functions of the stress-energy tensor, we shall make extensive use of the Ward identities these correlation functions obey. Formally computing the functional integral over the N massless fermion fields in a general fixed background metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ as in Sec. 3.1 gives the effective action

$$S_{\rm E}[g] = \hbar N \,\mathrm{Tr}\ln\big(-i\gamma^a E_a{}^\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\nabla}_\mu\big) \tag{4.1}$$

illustrated in Fig. 5a after continuation to Euclidean space. On the other hand, computing the effective action explicitly yields the non-local Polyakov action (3.15), or by (3.29), the local form (3.25) in terms of the canonically normalized scalar $\mathbf{\Phi}$. The one-loop fermion and boson tree versions of the Euclidean effective action are illustrated in Figs. 5a and 5b respectively. The $\hbar N$ loop factor in (4.1) and Fig. 5a is taken into account in (3.25) by $(\sqrt{\hbar N})^2$ from the two factors of the quantum anomaly coefficient in the source of $\Box\mathbf{\Phi}$ from each end of the tree diagram in Fig. 5b.



(a) The fermion one-loop effective action (4.1), in a background gravitational field.

(b) The scalar tree effective action, with the propagator in a background gravitational field represented by a bold dashed line.

Figure 5: Effective action for fermion loops and scalar trees.

The one-point and general n-point gravitational vertex functions are defined in Euclidean space by

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu}(x) = \big\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\big\rangle = \frac{2}{\sqrt{g(x)}}\,\frac{\delta S_E[g]}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)} \tag{4.2a}$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu_1\nu_1\dots\mu_n\nu_n}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{g(x_1)}\dots\sqrt{g(x_n)}}\,\frac{\delta^n S_E[g]}{\delta g_{\mu_1\nu_1}(x_1)\dots\delta g_{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)} \tag{4.2b}$$

which are represented by connected one-loop diagrams in the fermion theory, because S_E is the generating functional of connected one-particle irreducible (1PI) diagrams. In (4.2) and the remainder of this section we drop the subscript $_E$ on the correlation functions, since we work entirely in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^2$. The multiple variations with respect to the metric in (4.2b) produce connected n-point functions of fermion stress-energy tensors at different points, as well as local contact terms from varying the explicit dependence of the stress-energy tensor itself upon the metric,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\mu_1\nu_1\dots\mu_n\nu_n}(x_1,\dots,x_n) &= \big\langle T^{\mu_1\nu_1}(x_1)\dots T^{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)\big\rangle_c \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\sqrt{g(x_1)}}\Big\langle\frac{\delta T^{\mu_2\nu_2}(x_2)}{\delta g_{\mu_1\nu_1}(x_1)}\,T^{\mu_3\nu_3}(x_3)\dots T^{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)\Big\rangle_c + \dots\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where the ellipsis contains all possible multiple local variations of the stress-energy tensor insertions. If all the points are distinct, $x_i \neq x_j$ for all $i \neq j$, then these latter contact terms are absent, and $\Gamma^{\mu_1\nu_1\ldots\mu_n\nu_n}$ is simply the connected Euclidean correlation function (denoted simply by the subscript c) of n stress-energy tensors at n different points in the first line of (4.3).

Since $S_E[g]$ is a scalar invariant which incorporates the conformal anomaly, the product $\sqrt{g(x)}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\rangle$ satisfies the local conservation and trace identities

$$\sqrt{g}\ \nabla_\nu\big\langle T^{\mu\nu}\big\rangle = \partial_\nu\big(\sqrt{g}\,\langle T^{\mu\nu}\rangle\big) + \Gamma^\mu_{\ \lambda\nu}\big(\sqrt{g}\,\langle T^{\lambda\nu}\rangle\big) = 0 \tag{4.4a}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}\,\big(\sqrt{g}\,\big\langle T^{\mu\nu}\big\rangle\big) = \frac{N}{24\pi}\,\sqrt{g}\,R \tag{4.4b}$$

in an arbitrary background metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, where $\Gamma^\mu_{\ \lambda\nu}$ is the Christoffel connection. By varying these fundamental identities multiple times one arrives at the Ward identities satisfied by the general n-point correlation functions (4.2), which when evaluated finally in the flat metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$\partial_{\nu_1}\bar\Gamma^{\mu_1\nu_1\ldots\mu_n\nu_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = -\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \delta^{(2)}(x_1-x_i)\,\partial^{\mu_1}_{x_i}\right)\,\bar\Gamma^{\mu_2\nu_2\ldots\mu_n\nu_n}(x_2,\ldots,x_n) \tag{4.5a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\mu_1\nu_1}\bar\Gamma^{\mu_1\nu_1\ldots\mu_n\nu_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = &-2\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \delta^{(2)}(x_1-x_i)\right)\bar\Gamma^{\mu_2\nu_2\ldots\mu_n\nu_n}(x_2,\ldots,x_n)\\ &+\ \frac{N}{24\pi}\ \frac{2^{n-1}\delta^{n-1}\big(\sqrt{g(x_1)}R(x_1)\big)}{\delta g_{\mu_2\nu_2}(x_2)\ldots\delta g_{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)}\bigg|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\delta_{\mu\nu}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.5b}$$

where we have used Cartesian coordinates in which the flat space metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$. Eqs.(4.5) are distributional identities that vanish as any point is removed to infinity (so that total derivatives of δ-function terms may be dropped). It is important to note that the Ward identities we used for our derivations enforce covariance at the expense of the trace anomaly.

As an example let us derive the $n = 2$ case of (4.5b). Using (4.2a), we can write (4.4b) as

$$2g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\delta S_E[g]}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)} = \frac{N}{24\pi}\,\sqrt{g}\,R. \tag{4.6}$$

Now let us take the variation with respect to $g_{\alpha\beta}(x')$ to obtain

$$2g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\delta^2 S_E[g]}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)\delta g_{\alpha\beta}(x')} + 2\delta^{(2)}(x-x')\frac{\delta S_E[g]}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}(x')} = \frac{N}{24\pi}\frac{\delta\big(\sqrt{g(x)}\,R(x)\big)}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}(x')}\,. \tag{4.7}$$

One only needs to multiply this expression by a factor of $2/\sqrt{g}$, use the definitions (4.2), and evalute the resulting expression in flat space to obtain the desired result. Applying this procedure iteratively, (4.5b) can be shown to hold for any value of n. Similar manipulations are needed to obtain (4.5a) from (4.4a), where now the terms in the right-hand side of (4.5a) come from variations of the Christoffel symbol in (4.4a).

The latter trace identity (4.5b) simplifies due to the fact that the variation of $\sqrt{g}R$ vanishes for $n > 2$. To prove this note first that in $d = 2$ dimensions an arbitrary metric variation can be written as a conformal transformation plus a diffeomorphism

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} + \delta_\xi g_{\mu\nu} \equiv 2\sigma g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu\xi_\nu + \nabla_\nu\xi_\mu\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Since $\sqrt{g}R$ is a scalar density which is invariant under diffeomorphisms up to surface terms, its local variation with respect to $\delta_\xi g_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes and it is sufficient to consider only conformal deformations of the metric $\delta_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}$. With the conformal parameterization of (3.11), the relation (3.10) evaluated for $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ the Euclidean flat space metric is

$$\sqrt{g}\,R = -2\sqrt{\bar{g}}\,\bar{\Box}\sigma = -2\,\delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\sigma = -2\,\partial^2\sigma \tag{4.9}$$

in flat Cartesian coordinates. Eq. (4.9) shows that $\sqrt{g}R$ depends only linearly on the conformal metric variation $\delta_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} = 2\sigma\delta_{\mu\nu}$. Hence all higher order variations of $\sqrt{g}R$ around flat space beyond the first must vanish identically, *i.e.*

$$\frac{\delta^{n-1}\big(\sqrt{g(x)}R(x)\big)}{\delta g_{\mu_2\nu_2}(x_2)\dots\delta g_{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)}\bigg|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\delta_{\mu\nu}} = 0\,, \qquad n>2\,,\quad d=2\,. \tag{4.10}$$

For $n=2$ the first variation is given by

$$\frac{\delta\big(\sqrt{g(x)}R(x)\big)}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}(y)}\bigg|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\delta_{\mu\nu}} = \Big(-\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial^2+\partial^\alpha\partial^\beta\Big)\,\delta^{(2)}(x-y) \tag{4.11}$$

in Cartesian coordinates of flat space, so that for the two-point function, the Ward identities (4.5) are [56–60]

$$\partial^x_\nu\big\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\alpha\beta}(y)\big\rangle = 0\,, \tag{4.12a}$$

$$\delta_{\mu\nu}\big\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\alpha\beta}(y)\big\rangle = \frac{N}{12\pi}\,\Big(-\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial^2+\partial^\alpha\partial^\beta\Big)\,\delta^{(2)}(x-y)\,, \tag{4.12b}$$

where we have used $\langle T^{\alpha\beta}(y)\rangle = 0$ in flat space.

We may use the identity (4.5b) recursively together with (4.10) to derive identities for correlation functions with multiple insertions of traces $T(x_j)\equiv\delta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}(x_j)$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\langle T(x_1)\dots T(x_\ell)T^{\mu_{\ell+1}\nu_{\ell+1}}(x_{\ell+1})\dots T^{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)\big\rangle\\ &= (-2)^\ell\Big[\prod_{k=1}^{\ell}\Big(\sum_{i_k=k+1}^{n}\delta^{(2)}(x_k-x_{i_k})\Big)\Big]\big\langle T^{\mu_{\ell+1}\nu_{\ell+1}}(x_{\ell+1})\dots T^{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)\big\rangle\,,\quad n>\ell+1\ge 2\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

while in the special case $n=\ell+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\langle T(x_1)\dots T(x_{n-1})T^{\alpha\beta}(x_n)\big\rangle\\ &= (-2)^{n-2}\Big[\prod_{k=1}^{n-2}\Big(\sum_{i_k=k+1}^{n}\delta^{(2)}(x_k-x_{i_k})\Big)\Big]\frac{N}{12\pi}\,\Big(-\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial^2+\partial^\alpha\partial^\beta\Big)\,\delta^{(2)}(x_{n-1}-x_n)\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

which reduces to (4.12b) for $n=2$ and $x_1=x$, $x_2=y$.

4.2 The Holomorphic Representation in Coordinate Space

To compare the fermion loops to scalar trees it will be particularly convenient to work in Euclidean time $\tau = it$ and the complex coordinates [58, 61]

$$z = x+i\tau\,, \qquad \bar{z} = x-i\tau\,, \tag{4.15}$$

so that the Euclidean flat line element and non-zero components of the metric are given by

$$ds^2 = d\tau^2 + dx^2 = dz\, d\bar{z}\,, \qquad \bar{g}_{z\bar{z}} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,, \qquad \bar{g}^{z\bar{z}} = 2\,. \tag{4.16}$$

In these complex coordinates the fermion energy momentum tensor (3.43) has components[1]

$$T_{zz} = -\frac{i}{2}\Big(:\psi_+^\dagger(z)\partial_z\psi_+(z): - :\partial_z\psi_+^\dagger(z)\psi_+(z):\Big) \tag{4.17a}$$

$$T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \frac{i}{2}\Big(:\psi_-^\dagger(\bar{z})\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi_-(\bar{z}): - :\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi_-^\dagger(\bar{z})\psi_-(\bar{z}):\Big) \tag{4.17b}$$

while the mixed component $T_{z\bar{z}}$ vanishes on shell upon using the Dirac equations of motion $\partial_z\psi_- = 0 = \partial_{\bar{z}}\psi_+$. These components satisfy the conservation law

$$\partial_z T_{z\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}} T_{zz} = 0\,, \qquad\qquad \partial_{\bar{z}} T_{z\bar{z}} + \partial_z T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = 0\,, \tag{4.18}$$

since T_{zz} depends only on z while $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$ depends only on $\bar{z}$.

The fermion propagators are

$$\langle\psi_+(z_1)\psi_+^\dagger(z_2)\rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi}\frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} \equiv S_+(z_1 - z_2) \tag{4.19a}$$

$$\langle\psi_-(z_1)\psi_-^\dagger(z_2)\rangle = -\frac{i}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2} \equiv S_-(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2) \tag{4.19b}$$

in this notation (for one fermion). These are Green's functions of the chiral Dirac operator since, *e.g.*

$$-i\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^\mu}S_+ = -2i\partial_{\bar{z}_1}S_+ = \frac{1}{\pi}\,\partial_{\bar{z}_1}\frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} = -4\partial_{\bar{z}_1}\partial_{z_1}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}} = \delta^{(2)}(z_1 - z_2) \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_1 - z_2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln\left(M|z_1 - z_2|\right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\big[\ln(z_1 - z_2) + \ln(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)\big] + \text{const.} \tag{4.21}$$

is the Euclidean scalar propagator (3.90) and we have used $\Box = 4\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z$ in complex coordinates.

Using (4.17b) and (4.19b), and keeping track of signs for the anti-commutation of fermion fields, we find for the one-loop two-T correlator of N fermions

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle\, T_{z_1z_1}T_{z_2z_2}\big\rangle &= -\frac{N}{4}\Big[2\partial_{z_1}S_+(z_1 - z_2)\;\partial_{z_2}S_+(z_1 - z_2) - 2S_+(z_1 - z_2)\,\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z_2}S_+(z_1 - z_2)\Big] \\ &= \frac{N}{2(2\pi)^2}\,\frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^4}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

For the canonically normalized boson field $\mathbf{\Phi}$ associated with the effective action of the conformal anomaly (3.25), the energy momentum tensor components in complex coordinates of flat space are

$$T_{zz}[\mathbf{\Phi}] = \sqrt{\frac{N}{12\pi}}\,\partial_z\partial_z\mathbf{\Phi} + :\partial_z\mathbf{\Phi}\partial_z\mathbf{\Phi}: \tag{4.23a}$$

$$T_{z\bar{z}}[\mathbf{\Phi}] = -\sqrt{\frac{N}{12\pi}}\,\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z\mathbf{\Phi} \tag{4.23b}$$

[1]We drop the subscript f in the fermion stress-energy T_f in this section for notational simplicity.

with the anti-holomorphic $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$ obtained from T_{zz} by replacing z by $\bar{z}$. Its Euclidean propagator is given by (3.90) or (4.21). Note that the scaling of the linear term in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ with $\sqrt{N}$ implies that exactly two insertions of the linear vertex is necessary to match the N scaling of the fermion loop correlations functions. For the two-point function this is just the simple tree diagram of Fig. 3, already computed in Sec. 3, *cf.* (3.92), which in complex coordinates is

$$\left\langle T_{z_1 z_1} T_{z_2 z_2} \right\rangle_c = \frac{N}{12\pi} \left\langle \partial_{z_1}^2 \mathbf{\Phi}(z_1) \partial_{z_2}^2 \mathbf{\Phi}(z_2) \right\rangle = \frac{N}{12\pi} \partial_{z_1}^2 \partial_{z_2}^2 G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_1 - z_2) = \frac{N}{2(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^4} \quad (4.24)$$

which coincides with (4.22), and corresponds to (3.36) Fourier transformed to Euclidean position space in complex coordinates, where all four indices are z. The massless pole of (3.36) is nothing else but the scalar propagator $G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}$, and the projection operators $(\eta^{\mu\nu} k^2 - k^\mu k^\nu)$ in coordinates (4.16) become four derivatives ∂_z^4 of $G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}$.

Taking one trace in the two-point function yields

$$\left\langle 4 T_{z_1 \bar{z}_1} T_{z_2 z_2} \right\rangle_c = \frac{N}{12\pi} \partial_{z_2}^2 \delta^{(2)}(z_1 - z_2) \quad (4.25)$$

no matter whether it is computed via a fermion loop or from a tree using (3.23). However this contribution arises in each representation in quite a different way, which merits some comment. In the fermion loop computation in position space the result (4.22) is well-defined if the points $z_1 \neq z_2$ are distinct. The possible local contribution at $z_1 = z_2$ is undefined without further information. That information comes from the requirement of covariance, or equivalently, the enforcement of the Ward identity (4.12b). In covariant language the conservation eq. (4.12a) forces the tensor structure of the two-point function to be proportional to the projector in (3.36) or $(\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu)(\eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^2 - \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta)$ in position space. This tensor structure determines the trace in terms of the non-trace components so that the trace is obtained by replacing $\partial_{z_1}^2$ by $-\partial^2 = -4\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}}$, which because of (3.91) or (4.20) gives a well-defined contact term at $z_1 = z_2$. If one had chosen the trace anomaly to be absent, at the price of the loss of the covariant conservation eq. (4.12a), this contact term would be absent. In contrast, in the tree effective action of the boson field $\mathbf{\Phi}$, covariant conservation at the non-zero trace anomaly has already been imposed, and the non-zero trace (4.25) follows from the explicit non-zero trace contribution of the term linear in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ (4.23b) inserted at the endpoints of the simple tree diagram in Fig. 3, canceling the scalar propagator by (3.91) and leading to a well-defined contact term consistent with the anomalous trace Ward identity (4.25). The scaling with N of loops and trees also arises in different ways, and helps to understand how a quantum loop proportional to $\hbar$ can be equivalent to scalar tree diagrams. This is possible because the anomaly effective action for $\mathbf{\Phi}$ has a linear $\mathbf{\Phi}R$ vertex which is itself proportional to $\hbar$ from the quantum anomaly it encodes. Two insertions of this vertex and one $\mathbf{\Phi}$ propagator canonically normalized yield a factor of $N\hbar^2/\hbar = N\hbar$, exactly the same factor in the quantum one-loop diagram of N fermions. Additional insertions of the stress-energy tensor vertex always bring with them the same number of additional propagators in either the loop or tree representation, so that this factor of $N\hbar$ is unchanged for the arbitrary n-point correlation function, once the two-point function is fixed by the anomaly.

For the higher n-point functions, we start with the simplest case of purely holomorphic T_{zz} components. For three insertions of T_{zz}, whether the N fundamental quantum fields are

fermions or bosons we find [57, 58, 62, 63]:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle T_{z_1z_1}T_{z_2z_2}T_{z_3z_3}\right\rangle_c &= N\left[\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z_2}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_1-z_2)\right]\left[\partial_{z_2}\partial_{z_3}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_2-z_3)\right]\left[\partial_{z_3}\partial_{z_1}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_3-z_1)\right]\\ &= -\frac{N}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^2(z_2-z_3)^2(z_3-z_1)^2}\\ &= -\frac{N}{3(2\pi)^3}\left[\frac{1}{(z_2-z_1)^3(z_1-z_3)^3}+\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^3}+\frac{1}{(z_2-z_3)^3(z_3-z_1)^3}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where the last line follows from the algebraic identity

$$3(z_1-z_2)(z_2-z_3)(z_3-z_1) = (z_1-z_2)^3+(z_2-z_3)^3+(z_3-z_1)^3\,. \tag{4.27}$$

This identity is just what is needed for the identification with three tree graphs in $T_{zz}[\mathbf{\Phi}]$ as depicted in Fig. 6, where the middle point containing the one $T_{zz}[\mathbf{\Phi}]$ vertex insertion that is quadratic in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is z_1, z_2 and z_3 respectively. Thus, for example the first term corresponds to the tree graph

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{N}{12\pi}\left\langle \partial_{z_1}^2\mathbf{\Phi}(z_1):\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2)\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2):\partial_{z_3}^2\mathbf{\Phi}(z_3)\right\rangle_c &= \frac{N}{6\pi}\left[\partial_{z_1}^2\partial_{z_2}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_1-z_2)\right]\left[\partial_{z_2}\partial_{z_3}^2G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_2-z_3)\right]\\ &= -\frac{N}{3(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^3}\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

after taking account of the two allowed Wick contractions and substituting (4.21). Summing the three contributions represented in Fig. 6 and using (4.27) gives (4.26).



Figure 6: Equivalence of fermion loop to scalar $\mathbf{\Phi}$ trees for $n = 3$.

The case of $n = 3$ shows that equality of loops and trees can be achieved upon summing over permutations of all allowed graphs in each case with the proper symmetry factor(s), and only after a decomposition expressed by the algebraic identity (4.27) is utilized. For the general case of n holomorphic stress-energy tensor correlators at distinct points, $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ there are $n!$ permutations of the positions of the z_i on the fermion loop. Due to cyclic symmetry of the one-loop graphs, n of them lead to the same expression, and furthermore the mirror reflection of any loop gives again the same expression. Thus for the n-point correlator there are $(n-1)!/2$ distinct one-loop graphs that one must sum over. For $n = 3$ this is the single expression (4.26). However on the scalar tree side there is mirror reflection symmetry but no cyclic symmetry of n points, so that the number of distinct tree diagrams is $n!/2$, giving the 3 expressions in the last line of (4.26). Before we turn to a general proof, it is illustrative to examine one more explicit example of $n = 4$. The one-loop graph with the four holomorphic stress-energy tensors of N

fundamental fermions or bosons is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle T_{z_1z_1}T_{z_2z_2}T_{z_3z_3}T_{z_4z_4}\big\rangle_c \\
&= N\big[\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z_2}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_1-z_2)\big]\big[\partial_{z_2}\partial_{z_3}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_2-z_3)\big]\big[\partial_{z_3}\partial_{z_4}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_3-z_4)\big]\big[\partial_{z_4}\partial_{z_1}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_4-z_1)\big] \\
&\quad + (1\leftrightarrow 2) + (1\leftrightarrow 4) \\
&= \frac{N}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^2(z_2-z_3)^2(z_3-z_4)^2(z_4-z_1)^2} + (1\leftrightarrow 2) + (1\leftrightarrow 4)\,. \qquad (4.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(4-1)!/2 = 3$ there are two additional distinct expressions with $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $1 \leftrightarrow 4$ interchanged. For the scalar boson $\mathbf{\Phi}$ tree diagrams there are $4!/2 = 12$ distinct permutations with 2 of the points at the ends with the term linear in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ in (4.23a) inserted, and the other 2 points in the interior with the term quadratic in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ in (4.23a) inserted. A typical term of this kind from the scalar tree with fixed sequence (1234) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{N}{12\pi}\big\langle \partial_{z_1}^2\mathbf{\Phi}(z_1){:}\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2)\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2){::}\partial_{z_3}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_3)\partial_{z_3}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_3){:}\partial_{z_4}^2\mathbf{\Phi}(z_4)\big\rangle_c \\
&= \frac{N}{3\pi}\big[\partial_{z_1}^2\partial_{z_2}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_1-z_2)\big]\big[\partial_{z_2}\partial_{z_3}G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_2-z_3)\big]\big[\partial_{z_3}\partial_{z_4}^2G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(z_3-z_4)\big] \\
&= \frac{N}{3(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^2(z_2-z_3)^3}\,. \qquad (4.30)
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over the 12 distinct terms of this kind from the scalar trees yields (4.29) when the algebraic identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{3}\Bigg\{\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^2(z_3-z_4)^3} + \frac{1}{(z_2-z_3)^3(z_3-z_4)^2(z_4-z_1)^3} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(z_3-z_4)^3(z_4-z_1)^2(z_1-z_2)^3} + \frac{1}{(z_4-z_1)^3(z_1-z_2)^2(z_2-z_3)^3} + (1\leftrightarrow 2) + (1\leftrightarrow 4)\Bigg\} \\
&= \Bigg\{\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^2(z_2-z_3)^2(z_3-z_4)^2(z_4-z_1)^2} + \frac{1}{(z_1-z_3)^2(z_3-z_2)^2(z_2-z_4)^2(z_4-z_1)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^2(z_2-z_4)^2(z_4-z_3)^2(z_3-z_1)^2}\Bigg\} \qquad (4.31)
\end{aligned}$$

is used. This relation (4.31) can be checked directly. However the algebraic identity needed at each order n grows rapidly more complicated with n, making direct verification of the equivalence of loop and tree graphs for general n impractical.

In order to prove the equivalence between fermion loops and scalar $\mathbf{\Phi}$ trees for an arbitrary number n of holomorphic (or anti-holomorphic) stress-energy tensor insertions we make use of the following Ward identity [58, 64, 65]

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle T_{z_1z_1}T_{z_2z_2}\dots T_{z_{n+1}z_{n+1}}\big\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Bigg\{\frac{2}{(z_{n+1}-z_i)^2} + \frac{1}{(z_{n+1}-z_i)}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\Bigg\}\big\langle T_{z_1z_1}\dots T_{z_nz_n}\big\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{N}{2(2\pi)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(z_{n+1}-z_i)^4}\big\langle T_{z_1z_1}\dots T_{z_{i-1}z_{i-1}}T_{z_{i+1}z_{i+1}}\dots T_{z_nz_n}\big\rangle\,. \qquad (4.32)
\end{aligned}$$

This Ward identity is derived by combining the two Ward identities for conservation and trace of the stress-energy tensor (4.5), together with an additional identity following from invariance under Lorentz boosts [58], or alternately from the conformal transformation properties of the stress-energy tensor under arbitrary holomorphic conformal transformations [64], which includes the previous three as special cases. The Ward identity (4.32) holds for any correlation function, connected or not. For $n = 1$ the entire contribution to the connected two-point correlator comes from the last anomaly term in (4.32), as verified by (4.22). However for $n \geq 2$ this latter term corresponds to the sum of disconnected graphs in which $T_{z_1 z_1}$ is Wick contracted with any of the other $T_{z_i z_i}$. Thus, restricting to the connected diagrams generated by the 1PI variations of $\Gamma_{\rm eff}$ defined in (4.2), we have simply

$$\left\langle T_{z_1 z_1} T_{z_2 z_2} \dots T_{z_{n+1} z_{n+1}} \right\rangle_c = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{2}{(z_{n+1} - z_i)^2} + \frac{1}{(z_{n+1} - z_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right\} \left\langle T_{z_1 z_1} \dots T_{z_n z_n} \right\rangle_c \quad (4.33)$$

for $n \geq 2$. Hence all holomorphic or anti-holomorphic stress-energy tensor connected correlation functions for $n \geq 2$ are determined by the fundamental two-point function recursively. A similar identity applies for the anti-holomorphic sector by replacing all z by $\bar{z}$.

The Ward identity (4.33) generates n-point connected correlation functions from $n-1$ point correlation functions, and must hold for either the loops and the trees separately. The relation between the two which we shall prove by means of this identity is

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}[i_1, \dots i_n]} \Gamma_n^{\rm loop}(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_n}) = \frac{n}{3} \sum_{\mathcal{P}[i_1, \dots i_n]} \Gamma_n^{\rm tree}(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}; z_3 \dots, z_{n-2}; z_{i_{n-1}}, z_{i_n}) \quad (4.34)$$

where the sum is over all $n!$ permutations of the arguments z_i and we define the fundamental loop and tree expressions by

$$\Gamma_n^{\rm loop}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \equiv (-2\pi)^n \left\langle T_{z_1 z_1} \dots T_{z_n z_n} \right\rangle_c = \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2 \dots (z_k - z_{k+1})^2 \dots (z_n - z_1)^2} \quad (4.35)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_n^{\rm tree}(z_1, z_2; z_3 \dots, z_{n-2}; z_{n-1}, z_n) \equiv (-2\pi)^n \left\langle T_{z_1 z_1}[\mathbf{\Phi}] \dots T_{z_n z_n}[\mathbf{\Phi}] \right\rangle_c \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^3 (z_2 - z_3)^2 \dots (z_k - z_{k+1})^2 \dots (z_{n-2} - z_{n-1})^2 (z_{n-1} - z_n)^3} \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

respectively, with the endpoints of the linear tree z_1 and z_n distinguished in the latter case, the corresponding factors being cubic in the differences rather than quadratic as all the other terms. Since $2n$ of the $n!$ permutations are equal on the loop side, and pairs of terms are always equal on the tree side, the relative factor becomes just $1/3$ if the sums are taken only over those permutations which yield strictly different expressions.

If we look first at (4.35) we notice that the loop with $n+1$ points can be generated by inserting an additional point y somewhere in the loop, *i.e.*

$$\frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2 \dots (z_k - z_{k+1})^2 \dots (z_n - z_1)^2} \to \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2 \dots (z_k - y)^2 (y - z_{k+1})^2 \dots (z_n - z_1)^2} \quad (4.37)$$

and this procedure can be performed in n different ways (since the graph has n internal lines). Summing over all such possibilities takes into account that instead of $(n-1)!/2$ one has now $n!/2$ distinct graphs for $\Gamma_{n+1}^{\rm loop}$.

The same expression can be derived using the Ward identity (4.33) as follows. Since z_i appears twice in $\Gamma_n^{\rm loop}$, every derivative in the curly bracket of (4.33) generates two terms. Furthermore, there are two different derivative terms in the sum of the curly bracket acting on any given $(z_k - z_{k+1})^{-2}$ factor in $\Gamma_n^{\rm loop}$, *i.e.* that with $i = k$ and $i = k + 1$. It is therefore convenient to combine subexpressions in the following way. In the sum over i in (4.33) we select two summands $i = k$ and $i = k + 1$ corresponding to a line connecting the two adjacent points z_k and z_{k+1} in $\Gamma_n^{\rm loop}$. For these particular terms the operator in (4.33) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{2}{(y-z_k)^2}+\frac{1}{y-z_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}+\frac{2}{(y-z_{k+1})^2}+\frac{1}{y-z_{k+1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k+1}}\right\}\frac{1}{(z_{k-1}-z_k)^2(z_k-z_{k+1})^2(z_{k+1}-z_{k+2})^2}\\
&=\left\{\frac{2}{(y-z_k)^2}+\frac{2}{(y-z_k)(z_{k-1}-z_k)}-\frac{2}{(y-z_k)(z_k-z_{k+1})}+\frac{2}{(y-z_{k+1})^2}+\frac{2}{(y-z_{k+1})(z_k-z_{k+1})}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{2}{(y-z_{k+1})(z_{k+1}-z_{k+2})}\right\}\frac{1}{(z_{k-1}-z_k)^2(z_k-z_{k+1})^2(z_{k+1}-z_{k+2})^2}\,. \qquad (4.38)
\end{aligned}$$

Leaving aside the terms involving the outer points z_{k-1} and z_{k+2}, and focusing only on those depending on z_k or z_{k+1}, in the last set of curly brackets we collect $1/2$ of the terms involving either one of them in $(y - z_k)^2$ or $(y - z_{k+1})^2$, together with the full contribution of terms involving both z_k and z_{k+1} through $(z_k - z_{k+1})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{1}{(y-z_k)^2}-\frac{2}{(y-z_k)(z_k-z_{k+1})}+\frac{1}{(y-z_{k+1})^2}+\frac{2}{(y-z_{k+1})(z_k-z_{k+1})}\right\}\frac{1}{(z_k-z_{k+1})^2}\\
&=\left\{\frac{1}{(y-z_k)^2}+\frac{1}{(y-z_{k+1})^2}-\frac{2}{(y-z_k)(y-z_{k+1})}\right\}\frac{1}{(z_k-z_{k+1})^2}\\
&=\left[\frac{1}{(y-z_k)}-\frac{1}{(y-z_{k+1})}\right]^2\frac{1}{(z_k-z_{k+1})^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{(z_k-y)^2(y-z_{k+1})^2} \qquad (4.39)
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the result (4.37) of inserting the new point y into the loop graph between the adjacent points z_k and z_{k+1}. The other half of the $(y-z_k)^2$ or $(y-z_{k+1})^2$ terms together with the terms involving z_{k-1} and z_{k+2} are to be combined in the similar subexpressions corresponding to an insertion of the new vertex at y between z_{k-1} and z_k and between z_{k+1} and z_{k+2} pairwise in the same way. Hence, the sum of all subexpressions generated by the Ward identity (4.33) corresponds to inserting the new point y on all n possible lines in the loop, generating n different one-loop graphs with $n + 1$ insertions of T_{zz} from every single one-loop graph with n insertions of T_{zz}. Since there are $(n - 1)!/2$ distinct terms in the loop diagrams with n insertions of T_{zz}, there are $n!/2$ distinct terms with $n + 1$ insertions, as a check of our previous counting.

We will now show that the same result holds for the tree diagrams and $\Gamma_n^{\rm tree}$. In order to generate $\Gamma_{n+1}^{\rm tree}$ from $\Gamma_n^{\rm tree}$ there are three distinct ways of inserting the extra point (which again we denote by y):

1. One can insert y as a new end point changing the expression (4.36) by the factor $(z_1 - z_2)/(y-z_1)^3$, if the first endpoint on the left is chosen, or by the factor $(z_{n-1}-z_n)/(z_n-y)^3$ if the last endpoint on the right is chosen instead;
2. One can insert y on the line immediately attached to one of the endpoints changing the expression (4.36) by the factor $\frac{(z_1-z_2)^3}{(z_1-y)^3(y-z_2)^2}$ if on the left, and $\frac{(z_{n-1}-z_n)^3}{(z_{n-1}-y)^2(y-z_n)^3}$ if on the right;

3. Or one can insert y somewhere in the middle of the tree graph, making a change precisely like in (4.37).

The factors of possibilities (1) and (2) combine to

$$\frac{(z_1-z_2)}{(y-z_1)^3}\left[1-\frac{(z_1-z_2)^2}{(y-z_2)^2}\right]=\frac{(z_1-z_2)(y-2z_2+z_1)}{(y-z_1)^2(y-z_2)^2} \tag{4.40}$$

for the left side, with an analogous expression for possibilities (1) and (2) on the right side of the tree.

On the other hand the terms generated by the Ward identity (4.32), with $i=1,2$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\frac{2}{(y-z_1)^2}+\frac{1}{y-z_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}+\frac{2}{(y-z_2)^2}+\frac{1}{y-z_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\right\}\Gamma^n_{\rm tree}(z_1,z_2;z_3,\dots z_{n-2};z_{n-1},z_n)\\&=\left\{\frac{2}{(y-z_1)^2}-\frac{3}{(y-z_1)(z_1-z_2)}+\frac{2}{(y-z_2)^2}+\frac{3}{(y-z_2)(z_1-z_2)}-\frac{2}{(z_2-z_3)}\right\}\Gamma^n_{\rm tree}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Setting aside the term containing the interior point z_3, we combine the 3 terms depending on the endpoint z_1 with half of the term depending on $(y-z_2)^2$ to obtain the factor

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2}{(y-z_1)^2}-\frac{3}{(y-z_1)(z_1-z_2)}+\frac{1}{(y-z_2)^2}+\frac{3}{(y-z_2)(z_1-z_2)}\\&=\frac{2}{(y-z_1)^2}+\frac{1}{(y-z_2)^2}-\frac{3}{(y-z_1)(y-z_2)}\\&=\left[\frac{2}{(y-z_1)}-\frac{1}{(y-z_2)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{(y-z_1)}-\frac{1}{(y-z_2)}\right]=\frac{(z_1-z_2)(y-2z_2+z_1)}{(y-z_1)^2(y-z_2)^2}\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

which coincides with (4.40). The same relation holds for the combination of possibilities (1) and (2) on the other end of the tree graph. The remaining terms of (4.41) and its right side counter part, together with all other terms coming from the interior points of the tree generated by the Ward identity (4.32) combine exactly as in (4.39), thus corresponding to possibility (3) above, where the additional point y is inserted somewhere on one of the interior lines of the tree graph, not connected to one of the endpoints.

Since the argument goes through unchanged if the labels on the leftmost points z_1, z_2 and the rightmost points z_{n-1}, z_n are replaced by any permutations of the labels in (4.34), it follows that the sum of all subexpressions generated by the Ward identity (4.32) correspond to inserting y at all $n+1$ possible locations in each tree graph (including the new endpoints), thus generating $n+1$ tree graphs with $n+1$ insertions of the holomorphic T_{zz} from every single tree graph with n insertions of T. Since there are $n!/2$ distinct terms in $\Gamma^{\rm tree}_n$, there are $(n+1)!/2$ such distinct terms in $\Gamma^{\rm tree}_{n+1}$, again verifying our previous counting. Since we have verified the equivalence of loops and trees explicitly for $n=2,3$ and 4, the proof of the equivalence (4.34) for all higher n follows by induction. We have checked (4.34) explicitly for the cases of $n=5,6$ using Mathematica, the latter case involving $6!/2=360$ distinct tree contributions. The algebraic identity relating these 360 terms to the sum of $5!/2=60$ distinct loop contributions would be difficult to surmise without the guidance of the Ward identity (4.32).

4.3 Trace Insertions and Contact Terms

So far, we have studied purely holomorphic correlation functions. Their anti-holomorphic counterparts are straightforwardly derived in the same way by replacing all z by $\bar z$. What remains,



Figure 7: Equivalence of the fermion loop with scalar trees for arbitrary T-insertions.

are all those correlators with insertions of $T_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{4}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$. These can be easily generated by the trace Ward identity (4.13) for $n > 2$, with the two-point function already treated separately in (4.24) and (4.25). Since the stress-energy tensor has only 3 components in $d = 2$ dimensions, the trace components together with the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic components already treated provides complete information of any components of arbitrary numbers of stress-energy tensor correlators in $d = 2$.

Starting again with the three point function, with one trace $4T_{z\bar{z}}$ insertion, direct computation of the tree graphs using (4.23b) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle 4T_{z_1\bar{z}_1}T_{z_2z_2}T_{z_3z_3}\right\rangle &= \frac{N}{6(2\pi)^2}\delta^{(2)}(z_1-z_2)\partial_{z_2}^2\partial_{z_3}^2\ln|z_2-z_3|^2 + (z_2\leftrightarrow z_3)\\ &= -\frac{N}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\delta^{(2)}(z_1-z_2)+\delta^{(2)}(z_1-z_3)\right]\frac{1}{(z_2-z_3)^4}\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

which agrees with (4.13) for $\ell = 1, n = 3$, upon inserting (4.24) for the holomorphic two-point function. Notice that only two of the distinct three trees in Fig. 6 contribute to (4.43), namely the ones with the trace component at z_1 at one of the end points, and this trace is non-zero because of the explicit non-zero contribution of the linear term in the $\mathbf{\Phi}$ stress-energy tensor component (4.23b) canceling the scalar propagator $G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}$ attached to this point.

From the loop point of view the result (4.43) arises in a different way, namely from the two contact terms obtained from varying the stress-energy tensor two-point function $\langle T^{\mu_2\nu_2}T^{\mu_3\nu_3}\rangle$ with respect to $g_{\mu_1\nu_1}$, as in (4.3) with the topology of the bubble diagrams illustrated in Fig. 8.



Figure 8: The contribution to the fermion loop three point function obtained by varying one of the vertices, represented by the square.

Looking at two trace insertions of $T_{z\bar{z}}$ components in either the loop or tree representation we obtain

$$\langle 4T_{z_1\bar{z}_1}T_{z_2z_2}4T_{z_3\bar{z}_3}\rangle = -\frac{N}{3\pi}\delta^{(2)}(z_1-z_2)\partial_{z_2}^2\delta^{(2)}(z_2-z_3) \tag{4.44}$$

which is now clearly coming from the anomaly contribution to the two-point function (4.25). Using (4.25) we verify that (4.44) agrees with (4.14), as expected. In both cases above, one may straightforwardly replace the purely holomorphic components with purely anti-holomorphic components.

When $n \geq 4$ contact terms in the tree diagrams arise also from explicit local variations of the $T^{\mu\nu}$ vertex at internal points as in (4.3), just as they do in the loop representation for all $n \geq 3$. For the scalar boson this variation is

$$2\,\frac{\delta T^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{\Phi}](y)}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}(x)}\bigg|_{g=\bar{g}} = \Big(-\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\alpha}\mathbf{\Phi}\partial^{\beta}\mathbf{\Phi} + \bar{g}^{\alpha(\mu}\bar{g}^{\nu)\beta}\bar{g}^{\kappa\lambda}\partial_{\kappa}\mathbf{\Phi}\partial_{\lambda}\mathbf{\Phi}\Big)\,\delta^{(2)}(y-z) \tag{4.45}$$

which has the trace

$$2\,\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta T^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{\Phi}](y)}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}(x)}\bigg|_{g=\bar{g}} = \Big(-2\,\partial^{\alpha}\mathbf{\Phi}\partial^{\beta}\mathbf{\Phi} + \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}\bar{g}^{\kappa\lambda}\partial_{\kappa}\mathbf{\Phi}\partial_{\lambda}\mathbf{\Phi}\Big)\,\delta^{(2)}(y-z) \tag{4.46}$$

where only the first term contributes for holomorphic or anti-holomorphic $\alpha = \beta$. Thus for one trace insertion this term contributes a factor of $-2\delta^{(2)}(y-z)$ times the usual holomorphic T_{zz} vertex quadratic in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ from (4.23a), which is just what is required to satisfy the single trace insertion Ward identity (4.5b).

For the quantum loops we are assured by the equivalence of N bosons with N fermions that the corresponding variation of the fermion stress-energy tensor (3.5) produces all the contact terms needed to satisfy the multiple trace insertion Ward identities (4.13) and (4.14), all such contact terms coming either from explicit vertex variations analogous to (4.46), or inherited from the anomalous two-point function trace (4.25). For the tree diagrams the only sources of trace insertions are either the endpoint insertions of linear terms in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ (which encode the anomaly through the linear $\mathbf{\Phi}R$ vertex) or explicit contact variations of the (4.46) kind at intermediate points of the tree. These latter contributions must be taken into account for $n \geq 4$ (since a non-endpoint must be varied at least once which is first possible at $n = 4$).

Considering this first non-trivial case of $n = 4$ for tree diagrams with one trace insertion and three holomorphic T_{zz} insertions, the Ward identity (4.13) with $\ell = 1$ and $n = 4$ and the explicit expression for the three point function (4.26) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle T(y)T_{z_1z_1}T_{z_2z_2}T_{z_3z_3}\big\rangle = -2\sum_{i=1}^{3}\delta^{(2)}(y-z_i)\big\langle T_{z_1z_1}T_{z_2z_2}T_{z_3z_3}\big\rangle \\
&= \frac{2N}{3(2\pi)^3}\Big[\delta^{(2)}(y-z_1)+\delta^{(2)}(y-z_2)+\delta^{(2)}(y-z_3)\Big] \\
&\quad\times\left[\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^3}+\frac{1}{(z_2-z_1)^3(z_1-z_3)^3}+\frac{1}{(z_2-z_3)^3(z_3-z_1)^3}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

totaling nine terms in all. Six of these terms, namely those with $\delta^{(2)}(y-z_i)$ multiplying the trees with z_i at one of the two endpoints, correspond to correlators with the trace $T(y)$ coming from the linear term trace contribution (4.23b) at the endpoints canceling the connecting $G_{\mathbf{\Phi}}$

propagator as before. For example for the first of the nine terms in (4.47)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{N}{3\pi}\big\langle \partial_y\partial_{\bar y}\mathbf{\Phi}(y)\ :\partial_{z_1}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_1)\partial_{z_1}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_1):\,:\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2)\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2):\ \partial^2_{z_3}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_3)\big\rangle \\
&= \frac{N}{3\pi(4\pi)^2}\ \partial_{z_1}\delta^{(2)}(y-z_1)\,\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z_2}\ln(z_1-z_2)\,\partial_{z_2}\partial^2_{z_3}\ln(z_2-z_3) \\
&= \frac{2N}{3(2\pi)^3}\,\delta^{(2)}(y-z_1)\,\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^3}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.48}
$$

The remaining three of nine terms in (4.47) with $\delta^{(2)}(y-z_i)$ multiplying a contribution with that z_i at the center point of the tree, *viz.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2N}{3(2\pi)^3}\Bigg[&\delta^{(2)}(y-z_2)\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^3}+\delta^{(2)}(y-z_1)\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_3-z_1)^3} \\
&+\delta^{(2)}(y-z_3)\frac{1}{(z_2-z_3)^3(z_3-z_1)^3}\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.49}
$$

are reproduced by the explicit variation of that z_i vertex by $g_{\alpha\beta}(y)$ (4.46). For example the first of these three terms comes from varying the z_2 vertex by $g_{\alpha\beta}(y)$ which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle \frac{N}{12\pi}\partial^2_{z_1}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_1)\Big[-2\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2)\partial_{z_2}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_2)\delta^{(2)}(y-z_2)\Big]\,\partial^2_{z_3}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_3)\Big\rangle \\
&= -\frac{4N}{12\pi(4\pi)^2}\,\delta^{(2)}(y-z_2)\ \big[\partial^2_{z_1}\partial_{z_2}\ln(z_1-z_2)\big]\,\big[\partial_{z_2}\partial^2_{z_3}\ln(z_2-z_3)\big] \\
&= \frac{2N}{3(2\pi)^3}\delta^{(2)}(y-z_2)\frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)^3(z_2-z_3)^3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.50}
$$

with the correct factors.

In a similar manner multiple trace insertions in either the loop diagram or at intermediate points of the tree diagrams require additional variations of $T_{\mu\nu}$ with respect to the metric, which generates products of δ-functions at coincident points, according to the general trace Ward identities (4.13) and (4.14). Generalizing both the loop and tree diagrams in this way to allow for multiple variations and contact terms allows us to extend the identity (4.34) to the case of mixed $T_{z\bar z}$ components, respectively with arbitrary numbers of trace insertions. This completes the proof of the equivalence of one-loop correlators of arbitrary numbers of stress-energy tensor insertions of any kind with the linear tree diagrams generated by the effective action (3.25) of the scalar boson field $\mathbf{\Phi}$.

5 Summary and Conclusions

Our main purpose in this paper has been to demonstrate that fermion pairing into a bosonic degree of freedom in relativistic quantum field theory is a general phenomenon associated with an anomaly. The remarkable features of the Schwinger model are a consequence of the chiral anomaly of the fermions, which leads directly to the existence of a chiral boson composed of fermion pairs. We showed that with some interesting differences most of this analysis may be extended to the conformal trace anomaly of the stress-energy tensor in $d = 2$ spacetime dimensions. In particular, the physical Fock space representation of the scalar boson associated with the stress-energy tensor conformal anomaly as a certain correlated fermion pair related

to the Virasoro generators through (3.68) defines a 'bosonization' distinct from that in the Schwinger model and coupling to the electric field.

The quickest path to the boson description is via the functional integral method and the effective actions obtained by integration of the anomaly by (2.19)-(2.21) in the case of the chiral anomaly, and (3.12)-(3.15) in the case of the conformal anomaly. In both cases this leads immediately to a gauge (or coordinate) invariant non-local action in terms of field strengths with a propagator $\Box^{-1}$ that signals propagation of a massless boson field not present in the classical theory. In both cases this propagating boson field may be made explicit in the local form of the quadratic effective action (2.24) or (3.17) with a kinetic term. By keeping track of degrees of freedom through the functional determinants it is easy to see that the propagating boson is one collective degree of freedom of the original N fermion theory in the singlet channel, leaving $N-1$ fermion states, so that no net degrees of freedom are gained or lost by the fermions pairing into an effective boson.

A second clear signature of the fermion pairing phenomenon may be seen in the appearance of a massless boson $1/k^2$ pole in correlation functions, such as the two-point current polarization tensor (2.42) or the stress-energy polarization tensor (3.36). In each case the existence and residue of this pole is determined by the anomaly. In each case, deforming the system away from exactly zero mass fermions shows that corresponding spectral functions obey a UV finite sum rule, which collapses to a $\delta(k^2)$ in the massless limit. These behaviors of correlation and spectral functions are closely related to the anomalous commutator or Schwinger terms in the current algebras (2.53) and (3.48), which in turn are consequences of proper definition of the fermion vacuum with a filled Dirac sea and normal ordering definition of the currents. In the Fock space operator description the Schwinger anomalous commutator terms become nothing else than the canonical commutators of the boson fields (2.75)-(2.77) and (3.64)-(3.68) composed of fermion pairs verifying again that the boson is a *bona fide* propagating quantum field in its own right.

In both the chiral and conformal bosonization schemes there is an interesting connection to the topology of the field configuration space. The zero mode in the chiral bosonization scheme describe winding modes in the field configuration space related to the Chern-Simons number, the Wilson-Aharonov-Bohm phase and the breaking of chiral symmetry in the Schwinger model. The zero mode in the conformal bosonization scheme and analogous Chern-Simons charge derived from the Euler characteristic describes the conformal mapping of $\mathbb{R}^2$ to the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and the background conformal charge (3.60) of the dilation current. The Casimir energy of the fermions on the cylinder with anti-periodic boundary conditions on the spatial interval $[0, L]$ is thereby related to topology and a condensate (3.55) in the bosonic description.

The appearance of the fermion pairing may be seen explicitly in the current and stress-energy correlation functions in that the sum over arbitrary two-fermion intermediate states in each coincides with the sum over scalar boson intermediate states which are a specific coherent superposition of fermion pairs. This is verified for the two-point correlation functions in Secs. 2.4 and 3.6. For the currents the effective action (2.21) is quadratic in the gauge potentials and there are no further connected correlators to be considered. For the conformal anomaly the effective action (3.15) contains all higher metric variations, so that connected correlators of arbitrary numbers of stress-energy tensors are non-vanishing.

In Sec. 4 the equivalence between fermion pairs and bosons has been extended to the one-loop correlation functions of arbitrary numbers of fermion stress-energy tensors, which are mapped precisely to the set of linear tree diagrams, generated by the boson effective action (3.25): *cf.* Eq. (4.34) and Fig. 7. This proof involves some non-trivial combinatoric identities among

polynomials, which are made transparent only by use of the general n-point Ward identities, including the general anomalous trace Ward identities in (4.5). Since all the propagator lines in the tree diagrams are precisely those of the same boson field $\mathbf{\Phi}$ in (3.25), the equivalence (4.34) of the fermion loop and boson tree diagrams shows that all intermediate states of these arbitrary higher order n-point stress-energy tensor amplitudes involve exactly the same correlated fermion pair states of the boson field as that of the basic two-point $\langle T^{\mu\nu}T^{\alpha\beta}\rangle$ amplitude.

The close association between the phenomenon of fermion pairing into bosons and quantum anomalies has been studied here exclusively in $d = 2$ dimensions, in order to keep matters as simple as possible and all formulae explicit. However, it should be clear that many of the same features of this close association carry over to higher even dimensions, with appropriate modifications. In particular the bosonic effective action for both the conformal and chiral anomalies in $d = 4$ have been discussed in [49] and [22], with the massless $1/k^2$ pole and UV finite sum rule explicitly exhibited in the latter case. The principal differences in higher dimensions are that the anomalous amplitudes appear first in higher n-point correlation functions than $n = 2$ in $d = 2$, and the effects of the anomaly and pairing phenomenon are present only in special tensor combinations, in particular kinematic limits, the other tensor combinations being non-anomalous and determined by other model dependent considerations.

Acknowledgements

D. N. Blaschke is a recipient of an APART fellowship of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, and is also grateful for the hospitality of the theory division of LANL and its partial financial support. R. Carballo-Rubio acknowledges support from CSIC through the JAE-predoc program, cofunded by FSE. E. M. gratefully acknowledges helpful discussions with Federico R. Urban at the early stages of this work.

Appendix A: Commutator Algebra of Fermion Charge Density

In this appendix, we compute explicitly the commutator relations for the fermion density operator defined in Eq. (2.68). It is clear that the mixed commutator of left and right movers $[\rho_n^{(\mp)}, \rho_{n'}^{(\pm)}] = 0$, while $[\rho_n^{(+)}, \rho_{n'}^{(+)}] = [\rho_n^{(-)}, \rho_{n'}^{(-)}]$, so that we can drop the chirality index in order to compute this commutator. To that end let us first evaluate

$$[c_q, c_{q'}c^\dagger_{q'-n}] = c_q c_{q'}c^\dagger_{q'-n} - c_{q'}c^\dagger_{q'-n}c_q = -c_{q'}\,\delta_{q,q'-n} \tag{A.1a}$$

$$[c^\dagger_q, c_{q'}c^\dagger_{q'-n}] = c^\dagger_q c_{q'}c^\dagger_{q'-n} - c_{q'}c^\dagger_{q'-n}c^\dagger_q = \delta_{q,q'}\,c^\dagger_{q'-n} \tag{A.1b}$$

so that

$$[c_q, \rho_n] = c_{q+n}\,, \qquad\qquad [c^\dagger_q, \rho_n] = -c^\dagger_{q-n}\,. \tag{A.2a}$$

Therefore for $n > 0, n' < 0$

$$\begin{aligned}[\rho_n, \rho_{n'}] = \Big(&\sum_{q\geq -n'+\frac{1}{2}} c^\dagger_{q-n}c_{q+n'} - \sum_{q\geq n+\frac{1}{2}} c^\dagger_{q-n-n'}c_q + \sum_{\frac{1}{2}\leq q\leq n-\frac{1}{2}} c_q c^\dagger_{q-n-n'} \\ &- \sum_{\frac{1}{2}\leq q\leq -n'-\frac{1}{2}} c_{q+n'}c^\dagger_{q-n} + \sum_{q\leq -\frac{1}{2}} c_q c^\dagger_{q-n-n'} - \sum_{q\leq -\frac{1}{2}} c_{q+n'}c^\dagger_{q-n}\Big) \\ = &\sum_{\frac{1}{2}\leq q<n+\frac{1}{2}} \Big(c^\dagger_{q-n-n'}c_q + c_q c^\dagger_{q-n-n'}\Big) = \sum_{\frac{1}{2}\leq q<n+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{n,-n'} \;=\; n\,\delta_{n,-n'}\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

which is also valid for $n > 0, n' > 0$, when it vanishes. Using then also (2.70) we find that (A.3) is valid for all $n, n' \neq 0$. In order to obtain this result it is crucial to use the correctly fermion normal ordered definition (2.68), which gives rise to a finite range of n values of q contributing in (A.3).

This non-zero commutator simply expresses the anomalous commutator of currents (2.52), showing it to be an exact result, valid at the operator level, since

$$\begin{aligned}[j^0(t,x), j^1(t,x')] &= \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n\neq 0} n e^{ik_n(x-x')} - \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{n\neq 0} n e^{-ik_n(x-x')} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi L}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} k_n e^{ik_n(x-x')} = -\frac{i}{\pi}\,\partial_x \delta(x-x')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Appendix B: Virasoro Algebra of Fermion Energy Density

For completeness we also provide a direct computation of the commutator of two Virasoro generators of the fermions, highlighting the importance of the definition of the fermion vacuum by the normal ordering prescription. Suppressing the $\pm$ chirality indices for notational simplicity and using

$$[c_n, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] = \left(n + \frac{n'}{2}\right) c_{n+n'}\,, \qquad\qquad [c_n^\dagger, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] = -\left(n - \frac{n'}{2}\right) c^\dagger_{n-n'} \tag{B.1}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{L}_n, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] &= -\sum_{q=-\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right)[c_q c^\dagger_{q-n}, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] + \sum_{q=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(q-\frac{n}{2}\right)[c^\dagger_{q-n}c_q, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] \\ &= -\sum_{q=-\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(c_q[c^\dagger_{q-n}, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] + [c_q, \mathbf{L}_{n'}]c^\dagger_{q-n}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(q-\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(c^\dagger_{q-n}[c_q, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] + [c^\dagger_{q-n}, \mathbf{L}_{n'}]c_q\right) \\ &= \sum_{q=-\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(q - n - \frac{n'}{2}\right) c_q c^\dagger_{q-n-n'} - \sum_{p=-\infty}^{n'-\frac{1}{2}} \left(p - n' - \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(p - \frac{n'}{2}\right) c_p c^\dagger_{p-n-n'} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=n'+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left(p - n' - \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(p - \frac{n'}{2}\right) c^\dagger_{p-n-n'}c_p - \sum_{q=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(q-\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(q-n-\frac{n'}{2}\right) c^\dagger_{q-n-n'}c_q \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

where $p = q + n'$. Using the identity

$$\left(q - n' - \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(q - \frac{n'}{2}\right) - \left(q - n - \frac{n'}{2}\right)\left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right) = (n - n')\left(q - \frac{n'+n}{2}\right) \tag{B.3}$$

we find

$$[\mathbf{L}_n, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] = -(n-n') \sum_{q=-\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(q - \frac{n+n'}{2}\right) c_q c^\dagger_{q-n-n'} - \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{n'-\frac{1}{2}} \left(p - n' - \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(p - \frac{n'}{2}\right) c_p c^\dagger_{p-n-n'}$$
$$+ (n-n') \sum_{q=n'+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left(q - \frac{n+n'}{2}\right) c^\dagger_{q-n-n'} c_q - \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{n'-\frac{1}{2}} \left(p - \frac{n'}{2}\right)\left(p - n' - \frac{n}{2}\right) c^\dagger_{p-n-n'} c_p$$
$$= (n-n')\mathbf{L}_{n+n'} - \delta_{n,-n'} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{n'-\frac{1}{2}} \left(p - n' - \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(p - \frac{n'}{2}\right) . \tag{B.4}$$

Finally, one needs to evaluate the sum

$$\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{n'-\frac{1}{2}} \left(p - \frac{n'}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{12} n' \left(n'^2 - 1\right) \tag{B.5}$$

to obtain the algebra

$$[\mathbf{L}_n, \mathbf{L}_{n'}] = (n-n')\mathbf{L}_{n+n'} + \frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}\delta_{n,-n'} . \tag{B.6}$$

References

[1] See *e.g.* A. J. Leggett, *Quantum Liquids: Bose Condensation and Cooper Pairing in Condensed-matter Systems*, Oxford graduate texts in mathematics, Oxford University Press, 2006.

[2] P. C. W. Davies, S. A. Fulling and W. G. Unruh, *Energy Momentum Tensor Near an Evaporating Black Hole*, *Phys. Rev.* **D13** (1976) 2720–2723.

[3] L. S. Brown, *Stress Tensor Trace Anomaly in a Gravitational Metric: Scalar Fields*, *Phys. Rev.* **D15** (1977) 1469.

[4] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Univ. Press, NY: Cambridge Monogr. Math. Phys., 1982.

[5] J. S. Schwinger, *Gauge Invariance and Mass*, *Phys. Rev.* **125** (1962) 397–398.

[6] J. S. Schwinger, *Gauge Invariance and Mass. 2.*, *Phys. Rev.* **128** (1962) 2425–2429.

[7] L. S. Brown, *Gauge invariance and mass in a two-dimensional model*, *Nuovo Cim.* **29** (1963) 617–643.

[8] J. H. Lowenstein and J. A. Swieca, *Quantum electrodynamics in two-dimensions*, *Annals Phys.* **68** (1971) 172–195.

[9] A. Casher, J. B. Kogut and L. Susskind, *Vacuum polarization and the absence of free quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D10** (1974) 732–745.

[10] M. B. Halpern, *Equivalent-Boson Method and Free Currents in Two-Dimensional Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D13** (1976) 337.

[11] N. S. Manton, *The Schwinger Model and Its Axial Anomaly*, *Annals Phys.* **159** (1985) 220–251.

[12] D. Wolf and J. Zittartz, *Physics of the Schwinger model*, *Z. Phys.* **B59** (1985) 117–125.

[13] J. E. Hetrick and Y. Hosotani, *QED on a Circle*, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 2621.

[14] R. Link, *Eigenstates of the Schwinger model Hamiltonian*, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 2103–2108.

[15] I. Sachs and A. Wipf, *Finite temperature Schwinger model*, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **65** (1992) 652–678, [arXiv:1005.1822].

[16] A. V. Smilga, *On the fermion condensate in Schwinger model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B278** (1992) 371–376.

[17] S. Dürr and A. Wipf, *Finite temperature Schwinger model with chirality breaking boundary conditions*, *Annals Phys.* **255** (1997) 333–361, [arXiv:hep-th/9610241].

[18] Y. Hosotani and R. Rodriguez, *Bosonized massive N flavor Schwinger model*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998) 9925–9955, [arXiv:hep-th/9804205].

[19] S. Azakov, *The Schwinger model on a circle: Relation between Path integral and Hamiltonian approaches*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A21** (2006) 6593–6619, [arXiv:hep-th/0511116].

[20] A. D. Dolgov and V. I. Zakharov, *On Conservation of the axial current in massless electrodynamics*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B27** (1971) 525–540.

[21] J. Horejsi, *Ultraviolet and infrared aspects of the axial anomaly II*, *Czech. J. Phys.* **42** (1992) 345–361.

[22] M. Giannotti and E. Mottola, *The Trace Anomaly and Massless Scalar Degrees of Freedom in Gravity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 045014, [arXiv:0812.0351].

[23] R. Armillis, C. Coriano and L. Delle Rose, *Anomaly Poles as Common Signatures of Chiral and Conformal Anomalies*, *Phys. Lett.* **B682** (2009) 322–327, [arXiv:0909.4522].

[24] J. S. Schwinger, *Field theory commutators*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **3** (1959) 296–297.

[25] A. M. Polyakov, *Quantum Geometry of Bosonic Strings*, *Phys. Lett.* **B103** (1981) 207–210.

[26] B. Klaiber, *The thirring model* in *Boulder 1967, Lectures In Theoretical Physics Vol. Xa - Quantum Theory and Statistical Physics*, New York 1968, 141-176.

[27] S. R. Coleman, *The Quantum Sine-Gordon Equation as the Massive Thirring Model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 2088.

[28] S. R. Coleman, R. Jackiw and L. Susskind, *Charge Shielding and Quark Confinement in the Massive Schwinger Model*, *Annals Phys.* **93** (1975) 267.

[29] E. Witten, *Instantons, the Quark Model, and the* $1/n$ *Expansion*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B149** (1979) 285.

[30] G. Veneziano, $U(1)$ *Without Instantons*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B159** (1979) 213–224.

[31] S. Azakov, H. Joos and A. Wipf, *Witten-Veneziano relation for the Schwinger model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B479** (2000) 245–248, [arXiv:hep-th/0002197].

[32] K. Johnson, γ_5 *invariance*, *Phys. Lett.* **5** (1963) 253–255.

[33] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, topology and physics*, (Graduate student series in physics), Bristol: Institute of Physics Publishing, second edition, 2003.

[34] R. Jackiw, *Topological investigations of quantized gauge theories* in *Relativity, groups and topology, Vol. II*, B. DeWitt and R. Stora eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).

[35] C. P. Burgess and F. Quevedo, *Bosonization as duality*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B421** (1994) 373–390, [arXiv:hep-th/9401105].

[36] K. Fujikawa, *Path Integral Measure for Gauge Invariant Fermion Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42** (1979) 1195.

[37] K. Fujikawa, *Path Integral for Gauge Theories with Fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D21** (1980) 2848.

[38] K. Fujikawa and H. Suzuki, *Anomalies, local counter terms and bosonization*, *Phys. Rept.* **398** (2004) 221–243, [arXiv:hep-th/0305008].

[39] R. A. Bertlmann, *Anomalies in quantum field theory*, Oxford, UK: Clarendon (International series of monographs on physics: 91), 1996.

[40] S. R. Coleman, *More About the Massive Schwinger Model*, *Annals Phys.* **101** (1976) 239.

[41] E. Mottola, *Functional integration over geometries*, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 2470–2511, [arXiv:hep-th/9502109].

[42] J. E. Hetrick, Y. Hosotani and S. Iso, *The Massive multi-flavor Schwinger model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B350** (1995) 92–102, [arXiv:hep-th/9502113];
The Interplay between mass, volume, vacuum angle and chiral condensate in N flavor QED in two-dimensions, *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 7255–7259, [arXiv:hep-th/9510090].

[43] E. C. G. Stueckelberg, *Die Wechselwirkungskräfte in der Elektrodynamik und in der Feldtheorie der Kernkräfte. Teil II und III*, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **11** (1938) 299–328.

[44] A. Aurilia, Y. Takahashi and P. K. Townsend, *The $U(1)$ problem and the Higgs mechanism in two-dimensions and four-dimensions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B95** (1980) 265.

[45] C. Adam, R. Bertlmann and P. Hofer, *Overview on the anomaly and Schwinger term in two-dimensional QED*, *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **16N8** (1993) 1–52.

[46] D. Wolf and J. Zittartz, *Bosons and Fermions in One Space Dimension*, *Z. Phys.* **B51** (1983) 65–75.

[47] J. von Delft and H. Schoeller, *Bosonization for beginners: Refermionization for experts*, *Annalen Phys.* **7** (1998) 225–305, [arXiv:cond-mat/9805275]; and references therein.

[48] D. Sénéchal, *An Introduction to bosonization* [arXiv:cond-mat/9908262].

[49] E. Mottola and R. Vaulin, *Macroscopic Effects of the Quantum Trace Anomaly*, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 064004, [arXiv:gr-qc/0604051].

[50] A. Dettki, I. Sachs and A. Wipf, *Generalized gauged Thirring model on curved space-times*, [arXiv:hep-th/9308067].

[51] S. R. Coleman, D. J. Gross and R. Jackiw, *Fermion avatars of the Sugawara model*, *Phys. Rev.* **180** (1969) 1359–1366.

[52] M. Tomiya, *The Schwinger terms and the gravitational anomaly*, *Phys. Lett.* **B167** (1986) 411.

[53] M. Ebner, R. Heid and G. Lopes Cardoso, *Gravitational Anomalies and Schwinger Terms*, *Z. Phys.* **C37** (1987) 85–93.

[54] R. A. Bertlmann and E. Kohlprath, *Two-dimensional gravitational anomalies, Schwinger terms and dispersion relations*, *Annals Phys.* **288** (2001) 137–163, [arXiv:hep-th/0011067].

[55] P. Goddard and D. I. Olive, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro Algebras in Relation to Quantum Physics*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A1** (1986) 303.

[56] H. Osborn, *Weyl consistency conditions and a local renormalization group equation for general renormalizable field theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B363** (1991) 486–526.

[57] H. Osborn and A. C. Petkou, *Implications of conformal invariance in field theories for general dimensions*, *Annals Phys.* **231** (1994) 311–362, [arXiv:hep-th/9307010].

[58] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal field theory*, New York: Springer, 1997.

[59] C. Coriano, L. Delle Rose, E. Mottola and M. Serino, *Graviton Vertices and the Mapping of Anomalous Correlators to Momentum Space for a General Conformal Field Theory*, *JHEP* **1208** (2012) 147, [arXiv:1203.1339].

[60] M. Serino, *Conformal Anomaly Actions and Dilaton Interactions*, [arXiv:1407.7113].

[61] P. H. Ginsparg, *Applied conformal field theory* Les Houches Summer School 1988, [arXiv:hep-th/9108028].

[62] J. Erdmenger and H. Osborn, *Conserved currents and the energy momentum tensor in conformally invariant theories for general dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B483** (1997) 431–474, [arXiv:hep-th/9605009].

[63] J. Erdmenger, *Conformally covariant differential operators: Properties and applications*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14** (1997) 2061–2084, [arXiv:hep-th/9704108].

[64] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite Conformal Symmetry in Two-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B241** (1984) 333–380.

[65] J. Teschner, *Liouville theory revisited*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) R153–R222, [arXiv:hep-th/0104158].